

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarter ended June 30, 2004  
-----

Commission file number 1-5467  
-----

VALHI, INC.  
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
-----  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

87-0110150  
-----  
(IRS Employer  
Identification No.)

5430 LBJ Freeway, Suite 1700, Dallas, Texas 75240-2697  
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (972) 233-1700  
-----

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Yes X No  
--- ---

Number of shares of the Registrant's common stock outstanding on July 31, 2004:  
119,470,878.

VALHI, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX

Page  
number

Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	Financial Statements.	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets - December 31, 2003 and June 30, 2004	3
	Consolidated Statements of Income - Three months and six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2004	5
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income - Six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2004	6
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2004	7
	Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity - Six months ended June 30, 2004	9
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	10
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	28
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	49
Part II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings.	51
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to Vote of Security Holders	52
Item 6.	Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K.	52

VALHI, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands)

ASSETS	December 31, 2003	June 30, 2004
	-----	-----
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 103,394	\$ 141,640
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	19,348	11,281
Marketable securities	6,147	9,121
Accounts and other receivables	189,091	237,074
Refundable income taxes	37,712	1,350
Receivable from affiliates	317	796
Inventories	293,113	235,340
Prepaid expenses	10,635	5,964
Deferred income taxes	14,435	12,975
	-----	-----
Total current assets	674,192	655,541
	-----	-----
Other assets:		
Marketable securities	176,941	179,492
Investment in affiliates	161,818	159,164
Receivable from affiliate	14,000	12,000
Loans and other receivables	116,566	116,751
Unrecognized net pension obligations	13,747	13,426
Goodwill	377,591	386,061
Other intangible assets	3,805	3,495
Deferred income taxes	351	180,053
Other	27,177	28,166
	-----	-----
Total other assets	891,996	1,078,608
	-----	-----
Property and equipment:		
Land	35,557	34,744
Buildings	217,744	210,626
Equipment	805,081	794,814
Mining properties	34,330	33,552

Construction in progress	11,297	17,385
	-----	-----
Less accumulated depreciation	1,104,009	1,091,121
	465,851	490,500
	-----	-----
Net property and equipment	638,158	600,621
	-----	-----
	\$2,204,346	\$2,334,770
	=====	=====

VALHI, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (CONTINUED)  
(In thousands)

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	December 31, 2003	June 30, 2004
	-----	-----
Current liabilities:		
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 5,392	\$ 50,232
Accounts payable	118,781	82,954
Accrued liabilities	130,091	121,809
Payable to affiliates	21,454	13,464
Income taxes	13,105	8,533
Deferred income taxes	3,941	22,713
	-----	-----
Total current liabilities	292,764	299,705
	-----	-----
Noncurrent liabilities:		
Long-term debt	632,533	598,822
Accrued pension costs	90,517	88,481
Accrued OPEB costs	37,410	35,567
Accrued environmental costs	61,725	61,027
Deferred income taxes	294,966	163,649
Other	34,908	35,532
	-----	-----
Total noncurrent liabilities	1,152,059	983,078
	-----	-----
Minority interest	99,789	145,929
	-----	-----
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock	1,340	1,340
Additional paid-in capital	99,048	98,625
Retained earnings	639,463	891,624
Accumulated other comprehensive income:		
Marketable securities	85,124	86,837
Currency translation	(3,573)	(11,009)
Pension liabilities	(59,154)	(58,845)
Treasury stock	(102,514)	(102,514)
	-----	-----
Total stockholders' equity	659,734	906,058
	-----	-----
	\$2,204,346	\$2,334,770
	=====	=====

Commitments and contingencies (Notes 11 and 13)

VALHI, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME  
(In thousands, except per share data)

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2003	2004	2003	2004
Revenues and other income:				
Net sales	\$317,393	\$ 353,857	\$622,779	\$ 671,088
Other, net	8,221	16,719	16,823	26,036
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	325,614	370,576	639,602	697,124
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of sales	245,505	278,162	481,604	529,660
Selling, general and administrative	67,042	51,286	123,387	105,350
Interest	14,710	15,055	29,129	30,660
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	327,257	344,503	634,120	665,670
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	(1,643)	26,073	5,482	31,454
Equity in earnings of:				
Titanium Metals Corporation ("TIMEET")	(1,106)	2,146	(3,880)	2,512
Other	(184)	(21)	500	115
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Income (loss) before income taxes	(2,933)	28,198	2,102	34,081
Income tax benefit	(25,381)	(283,204)	(23,372)	(282,534)
Minority interest in after-tax earnings	4,669	47,738	6,099	49,553
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	17,779	263,664	19,375	267,062
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	-	-	586	-
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net income	\$ 17,779	\$ 263,664	\$ 19,961	\$ 267,062
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Basic and diluted earnings per share:				
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$ .15	\$ 2.19	\$ .16	\$ 2.22
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	-	-	.01	-
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net income	\$ .15	\$ 2.19	\$ .17	\$ 2.22
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Cash dividends per share	\$ .06	\$ .06	\$ .12	\$ .12
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Shares used in the calculation of per share amounts:				
Basic earnings per common share	120,165	120,193	119,225	120,192
Dilutive impact of outstanding stock options	167	146	153	222
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Diluted earnings per share	120,332	120,339	119,378	120,414
	=====	=====	=====	=====

VALHI, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2004

(In thousands)

	2003	2004
	----	----
Net income	\$19,961	\$267,062
	-----	-----
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:		
Marketable securities adjustment	987	1,713
Currency translation adjustment	19,874	(7,436)

Pension liabilities adjustment	(259)	309
	-----	-----
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net	20,602	(5,414)
	-----	-----
Comprehensive income	\$40,563	\$261,648
	=====	=====

VALHI, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
Six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2004  
(In thousands)

	2003	2004
	----	----
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 19,961	\$ 267,062
Depreciation and amortization	35,531	38,981
Securities transactions, net	(537)	25
Proceeds from disposal of marketable securities (trading)	50	-
Noncash:		
Interest expense	1,176	1,306
Defined benefit pension expense	(1,249)	227
Other postretirement benefit expense	(1,950)	(1,867)
Deferred income taxes	(22,163)	(289,402)
Minority interest	6,099	49,553
Other, net	(1,297)	895
Equity in:		
TIMET	3,880	(2,512)
Other	(500)	(115)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	(586)	-
Distributions from:		
Manufacturing joint venture, net	800	8,300
Other	-	53
Change in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts and other receivables	(32,773)	(54,492)
Inventories	25,292	52,614
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(10,556)	(41,236)
Accounts with affiliates	16,659	(1,989)
Income taxes	(23,928)	27,492
Other, net	5,354	2,001
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by operating activities	19,263	56,896
	-----	-----
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Capital expenditures	(18,372)	(16,527)
Purchases of:		
Kronos common stock	-	(16,158)
TIMET common stock	(977)	-
TIMET debt securities	(158)	-
Collection of loans to affiliate	2,000	2,000
Change in restricted cash equivalents, net	695	2,468
Other, net	1,272	2,938
	-----	-----
Net cash used by investing activities	(15,540)	(25,279)
	-----	-----

VALHI, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (CONTINUED)  
Six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2004



VALHI, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 - Organization and basis of presentation:

The consolidated balance sheet of Valhi, Inc. and Subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company") at December 31, 2003 has been condensed from the Company's audited consolidated financial statements at that date. The consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2004, and the consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the interim periods ended June 30, 2003 and 2004, have been prepared by the Company, without audit, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows have been made.

The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for a full year or of future operations. Certain information normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP has been condensed or omitted, and certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. The accompanying consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 (the "2003 Annual Report").

Contran Corporation holds, directly or through subsidiaries, approximately 90% of Valhi's outstanding common stock. Substantially all of Contran's outstanding voting stock is held by trusts established for the benefit of certain children and grandchildren of Harold C. Simmons, of which Mr. Simmons is sole trustee, or is held by Mr. Simmons or persons or other entities related to Mr. Simmons. Mr. Simmons, the Chairman of the Board of Valhi and Contran, may be deemed to control such companies.

The Company has complied with the consolidation requirements of FASB Interpretation ("FIN") No. 46R, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of ARB No. 51, as amended, as of March 31, 2004. See Note 15.

As disclosed in the 2003 Annual Report, the Company accounts for stock-based employee compensation in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion ("APBO") No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and its various interpretations. Under APBO No. 25, no compensation cost is generally recognized for fixed stock options in which the exercise price is greater than or equal to the market price on the grant date. Prior to 2003, and following the cash settlement of certain stock options held by employees of NL Industries, Inc., NL commenced accounting for its remaining stock options using the variable accounting method because NL could not overcome the presumption that it would not similarly cash settle its remaining stock options. Under the variable accounting method, the intrinsic value of all unexercised stock options (including those with an exercise price at least equal to the market price on the date of grant) are accrued as an expense over their vesting period, with subsequent increases (decreases) in the market price of the underlying common stock resulting in additional compensation expense (income). Net compensation expense recognized by the Company in accordance with APBO No. 25 was \$500,000 and nil in the second quarter and first six months of 2003, respectively, and net compensation expense was nil and \$1.1 million in the second quarter and first six months of 2004, respectively, in each case all of which relates to stock options granted by NL.

The following table presents what the Company's consolidated net income, and related per share amounts, would have been in the 2003 and 2004 periods presented if Valhi and its subsidiaries and affiliates had each elected to account for their respective stock-based employee compensation related to stock options in accordance with the fair value-based recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, for all awards granted subsequent to January 1, 1995.

Three months ended		Six months ended	
June 30,		June 30,	
2003	2004	2003	2004
----	----	----	----
(In millions, except per share amounts)			

Net income as reported	\$17.8	\$263.7	\$20.0	\$267.1
Adjustments, net of applicable income tax effects and minority interest, of stock-based employee compensation expense determined under:				
APFO No. 25	.3	.1	-	.7
SFAS No. 123	(.4)	(.3)	(.8)	(.5)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Pro forma net income	\$17.7	\$263.5	\$19.2	\$267.3
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Basic and diluted net income per share:				
As reported	\$ .15	\$ 2.19	\$ .17	\$ 2.22
Pro forma	.15	2.19	.16	2.22

Note 2 - Business segment information:

Business segment	Entity	% owned at June 30, 2004
Chemicals	Kronos Worldwide, Inc.	94%
Component products	CompX International Inc.	68%
Waste management	Waste Control Specialists LLC	100%
Titanium metals	TIMET	41%

The Company's ownership of Kronos includes 33% held directly by Valhi, 50% held directly by NL and 11% owned by Tremont LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Valhi. Valhi owns 62% of NL directly, and Tremont owns an additional 21%. The Company's ownership of TIMET includes 40% owned directly by Tremont and 1% owned directly by Valhi. TIMET owns an additional 9% of CompX and .5% of NL, and TIMET accounts for such CompX and NL shares as available-for-sale marketable securities carried at fair value. Because the Company does not consolidate TIMET, the shares of CompX and NL owned by TIMET are not considered as part of the Company's consolidated investment in such companies. During the first six months of 2004, the Company acquired additional shares of Kronos common stock in market transactions for an aggregate of \$16.2 million, increasing the Company's aggregate ownership of Kronos to 94% at June 30, 2004. Also during the first six months of 2004, the Company acquired the remaining 10% membership interest in Waste Control Specialists, as discussed below, increasing the Company's ownership interest in Waste Control Specialists to 100% as of June 30, 2004. Kronos (NYSE: KRO), NL (NYSE: NL), CompX (NYSE: CIX), and TIMET (NYSE: TIE) each file periodic reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2003	2004	2003	2004
	----	----	----	----
	(In millions, except per share amounts)			
Net sales:				
Chemicals	\$266.6	\$295.7	\$519.6	\$559.0
Component products	49.7	56.8	100.7	109.9
Waste management	1.1	1.4	2.5	2.2
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total net sales	\$317.4	\$353.9	\$622.8	\$671.1
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Operating income:				
Chemicals	\$ 31.7	\$ 36.2	\$ 62.4	\$ 58.4
Component products	.9	5.9	2.2	8.8
Waste management	(3.6)	(3.6)	(5.6)	(6.8)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total operating income	29.0	38.5	59.0	60.4
General corporate items:				
Interest and dividend income	8.0	8.0	16.3	16.1
Legal settlement gains, net	.7	.5	.7	.5
Securities transaction gains, net	.2	-	.5	-
General expenses, net	(24.8)	(5.8)	(41.9)	(14.8)
Interest expense	(14.7)	(15.1)	(29.1)	(30.7)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	(1.6)	26.1	5.5	31.5
Equity in:				
TIMET	(1.1)	2.1	(3.9)	2.5
Other	(.2)	-	.5	.1
	-----	-----	-----	-----



Income (loss) before income taxes	\$ (2.9)	\$ 28.2	\$ 2.1	\$ 34.1
	=====	=====	=====	=====

Chemicals operating income, as presented above, differs from amounts separately reported by Kronos due to amortization of purchase accounting basis adjustments recorded by the Company. Similarly, the Company's equity in earnings of TIMET differs from the Company's pro-rata share of TIMET's separately-reported results. Component products operating income, as presented below, may differ from amounts separately reported by CompX because the Company defines operating income differently than CompX.

In March 2004, NL paid its \$.20 per share regular quarterly dividend in the form of shares of Kronos common stock in which approximately 345,100 shares, or approximately .7% of Kronos' outstanding common stock, were distributed to NL shareholders (including Valhi and Tremont) in the form of a pro-rata dividend. Valhi, Tremont and NL are members of the Contran Tax Group. NL's distribution of such shares of Kronos common stock is taxable to NL, and NL is required to recognize a taxable gain equal to the difference between the fair market value of the shares of Kronos common stock distributed (\$30.15 per share, equal to the closing market price of Kronos' common stock on March 29, 2004, the date the distribution was completed) and NL's adjusted tax basis in such stock at the date of distribution. With respect to the shares of Kronos distributed to Valhi and Tremont (288,200 shares in the aggregate), the terms of NL's tax sharing agreement with Valhi, as amended in December 2003, do not require NL to pay up to Valhi the tax liability generated from the distribution of such Kronos shares to Valhi and Tremont, since the tax on that portion of the gain is deferred at the Valhi level due to Valhi, Tremont and NL being members of the same tax group. NL was required to recognize a tax liability with respect to the Kronos shares distributed to NL shareholders other than Valhi and Tremont, and such tax liability was approximately \$600,000. The Company's pro-rata share of such tax liability, based on the Company's ownership of NL, is \$503,000 and in accordance with GAAP has been recognized as a reduction of the Company's additional paid-in capital. Completion of the distribution had no other impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. NL paid its next regular \$.20 per share quarterly dividend in July 2004, also paid in the form of shares of Kronos common stock, and the effect of such dividend will be recognized by the Company in its consolidated financial statements during the third quarter of 2004.

At the beginning of 2004, the Company owned 90% of the membership interest in Waste Control Specialists. The Company's ownership of Waste Control Specialists is owned by Andrews County Holding, Inc., a subsidiary of Valhi. Andrews County had also previously loaned approximately \$1.5 million to an individual who controlled the remaining 10% membership interest in Waste Control Specialists, and such loan was collateralized by such 10% membership interest in Waste Control Specialists. During the second quarter of 2004, the other owner of Waste Control Specialists entered into an agreement with Andrews County in which, among other things, Andrews County acquired the remaining 10% ownership interest in Waste Control Specialists and the outstanding balance of such loan (\$2.5 million, including accrued and unpaid interest), was cancelled. As a result, Waste Control Specialists became wholly owned by Andrews County. Valhi owns 100% of the outstanding common stock of Andrews County.

Note 3 - Marketable securities:

	December 31, 2003	June 30, 2004
	-----	-----
	(In thousands)	
Current assets - restricted debt securities		
(available-for-sale)	\$ 6,147	\$ 9,121
	=====	=====
Noncurrent assets (available-for-sale):		
The Amalgamated Sugar Company LLC	\$170,000	\$170,000
Restricted debt securities	6,870	9,423
Other common stocks	71	69
	-----	-----
	\$176,941	\$179,492
	=====	=====

Note 4 - Accounts and other receivables:

	December 31, 2003	June 30, 2004
	-----	-----
	(In thousands)	
Accounts receivable	\$191,714	\$239,210
Notes receivable	2,026	2,131
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(4,649)	(4,267)
	-----	-----
	\$189,091	\$237,074
	=====	=====

Note 5 - Inventories:

	December 31, 2003	June 30, 2004
	-----	-----
	(In thousands)	
Raw materials:		
Chemicals	\$ 61,960	\$ 35,409
Component products	6,170	5,939
	-----	-----
	68,130	41,348
	-----	-----
In process products:		
Chemicals	19,854	17,079
Component products	10,852	10,331
	-----	-----
	30,706	27,410
	-----	-----
Finished products:		
Chemicals	148,047	122,433
Component products	9,166	8,308
	-----	-----
	157,213	130,741
	-----	-----
Supplies (primarily chemicals)	37,064	35,841
	-----	-----
	\$293,113	\$235,340
	=====	=====

Note 6 - Accrued liabilities:

	December 31, 2003	June 30, 2004
	-----	-----
	(In thousands)	
Current:		
Employee benefits	\$ 48,827	\$ 43,438
Environmental costs	24,956	20,026
Deferred income	4,699	823
Interest	383	407
Other	51,226	57,115
	-----	-----
	\$130,091	\$121,809
	=====	=====
Noncurrent:		
Insurance claims and expenses	\$ 13,303	\$ 13,569
Employee benefits	9,705	9,606
Deferred income	1,634	1,491
Asset retirement obligations	1,670	1,623
Other	8,596	9,243
	-----	-----
	\$ 34,908	\$ 35,532
	=====	=====

Note 7 - Other assets:

	December 31, 2003	June 30, 2004
	-----	-----
	(In thousands)	
Investment in affiliates:		
TIMET:		
Common stock	\$ 20,357	\$ 26,004
Convertible preferred debt securities	265	200
	-----	-----
	20,622	26,204
TiO2 manufacturing joint venture	129,010	120,711
Other	12,186	12,249
	-----	-----
	\$161,818	\$159,164
	=====	=====
Loans and other receivables:		
Snake River Sugar Company:		
Principal	\$ 80,000	\$ 80,000
Interest	33,102	35,698
Other	5,490	3,184
	-----	-----
	118,592	118,882
Less current portion	2,026	2,131
	-----	-----
Noncurrent portion	\$116,566	\$116,751
	=====	=====
Other noncurrent assets:		
Deferred financing costs	\$ 10,569	\$ 9,182
Refundable insurance deposit	1,972	1,972
Waste disposal site operating permits	982	2,769
Restricted cash equivalents	488	490
Other	13,166	13,753
	-----	-----
	\$ 27,177	\$ 28,166
	=====	=====

At June 30, 2004, the Company held 1.3 million shares of TIMET with a quoted market price of \$92.55 per share, or an aggregate market value of \$120 million. In March 2004, TIMET announced that its board of directors had approved, subject to certain conditions, a split of its common stock at a ratio of five shares of post-split common stock for each outstanding share of pre-split common stock. When completed, which is expected to occur during the third quarter of 2004, such stock split will have no financial statement impact to the Company, and the Company's ownership interest in TIMET will not change as a result of the split.

At June 30, 2004, TIMET reported total assets of \$591.3 million and stockholders' equity of \$165.5 million. TIMET's total assets at June 30, 2004 include current assets of \$287.4 million, property and equipment of \$230.9 million and intangible assets of \$5.5 million. TIMET's total liabilities at June 30, 2004 include current liabilities of \$113.0 million, long-term capital lease obligations of \$9.8 million, accrued OPEB and pension costs aggregating \$79.2 million and debt payable to TIMET Capital Trust I (the subsidiary of TIMET that issued its convertible preferred debt securities) of \$207.5 million.

During the first six months of 2004, TIMET reported net sales of \$244.6 million, operating income of \$9.8 million and income before cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle of \$200,000 (2003 - net sales of \$201.1 million, an operating loss of \$10.2 million and a loss before cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle of \$19.7 million).

In July 2004, TIMET commenced an offer to exchange any and all of the outstanding convertible preferred debt securities issued by TIMET Capital Trust I for shares of a newly-created Series A Convertible Preferred Stock of TIMET at the exchange rate of one share of Series A Preferred Stock for each convertible preferred debt security. Completion of the tender offer is subject to certain conditions. Dividends on the Series A shares would accumulate at the rate of 6 3/4% of their liquidation value of \$50 per share, and would be convertible into

shares of TIMET common stock at the rate of one-third of a share of TIMET common stock per Series A share (a rate of one and two-thirds of a share of TIMET common stock per Series A share, assuming completion of the five-for-one stock split discussed above). The Series A shares would not be mandatorily redeemable, but would be redeemable at the option of TIMET in certain circumstances. Valhi has indicated it intends to tender its shares of the convertible preferred debt securities (14,700 shares) in the exchange offer, which is currently expected to be completed during the third quarter of 2004.

Note 8 - Other income:

	Six months ended June 30,	
	2003	2004
	-----	-----
	(In thousands)	
Securities earnings:		
Dividends and interest	\$16,329	\$16,122
Securities transactions, net	537	(25)
	-----	-----
	16,866	16,097
Contract dispute settlement	-	6,289
Legal settlement gains, net	650	495
Currency transactions, net	(4,465)	871
Other, net	3,772	2,284
	-----	-----
	\$16,823	\$26,036
	=====	=====

The contract dispute settlement relates to Kronos' settlement with a customer. As part of the settlement, the customer agreed to make payments to Kronos through 2007 aggregating \$7.3 million. The \$6.3 million gain recognized represents the present value of the future payments to be paid by the customer to Kronos.

Note 9 - Long-term debt:

	December 31, 2003	June 30, 2004
	-----	-----
	(In thousands)	
Valhi:		
Snake River Sugar Company	\$250,000	\$250,000
Bank credit facility	5,000	50,000
	-----	-----
	255,000	300,000
	-----	-----
Subsidiaries:		
Kronos Worldwide Senior Secured Notes	356,136	346,446
CompX revolving bank credit facility	26,000	2,000
Other	789	608
	-----	-----
	382,925	349,054
	-----	-----
	637,925	649,054
Less current maturities	5,392	50,232
	-----	-----
	\$632,533	\$598,822
	=====	=====

Note 10 - Accounts with affiliates:

	December 31, 2003	June 30, 2004
	----- (In thousands) -----	
Current receivables from affiliates:		
TIMET	\$ 50	\$ 387
Other	267	409
	-----	-----
	\$ 317	\$ 796
	=====	=====
Noncurrent receivable from affiliate - loan to Contran family trust		
	\$ 14,000	\$ 12,000
	=====	=====
Payables to affiliates:		
Contran:		
Demand loan	\$ 7,332	\$ 1,296
Income taxes	3,759	862
Trade items	1,790	2,266
Louisiana Pigment Company	8,560	9,030
Other	13	10
	-----	-----
	\$ 21,454	\$ 13,464
	=====	=====

Note 11 - Income tax benefit:

	Six months ended June 30,	
	2003	2004
	----- (In millions) -----	
Expected tax expense	\$ .7	\$ 11.9
Change in deferred income tax valuation allowance, net	(.4)	(285.4)
Tax contingency reserve adjustments, net	-	(12.5)
Refund of prior year income taxes	(24.6)	(3.1)
Incremental U.S. tax and rate differences on equity in earnings of non-tax group companies	.4	.8
Non-U.S. tax rates	(.5)	(.1)
U.S. state income taxes, net	.7	.3
Other, net	.3	5.6
	-----	-----
	\$ (23.4)	\$ (282.5)
	=====	=====
Comprehensive provision for income taxes (benefit) allocated to:		
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$ (23.4)	(282.5)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	.3	-
Additional paid-in capital	-	.6
Other comprehensive income:		
Marketable securities	.8	-
Currency translation	2.7	(.5)
Pension liabilities	-	.1
	-----	-----
	\$ (19.6)	\$ (282.3)
	=====	=====

Certain of the Company's U.S. and non-U.S. tax returns are being examined and tax authorities have or may propose tax deficiencies, including non-income related items and interest. For example:

- o NL's and NL's majority-owned subsidiary, NL Environmental Management Services, Inc. ("EMS"), 1998 U.S. federal income tax returns are being examined by the U.S. tax authorities, and NL and EMS have granted extensions of the statute of limitations for assessments of tax with respect to their 1998, 1999 and 2000 income tax returns until September 30, 2005. During the course of the examination, the IRS proposed a substantial tax deficiency, including interest, related to a restructuring transaction. In an effort to avoid protracted litigation and minimize the hazards of such litigation, NL applied to take part in an IRS settlement initiative applicable to transactions similar to the restructuring transaction, and in

April 2003 NL received notification from the IRS that NL had been accepted into such settlement initiative. Under the initiative, a final settlement with the IRS is to be reached through expedited negotiations and, if necessary, through a specified arbitration procedure. NL has reached an agreement with the IRS concerning the settlement of this matter pursuant to which, among other things, the Company agreed to pay approximately \$24 million, including interest, up front as a partial payment of the settlement amount (which amount is expected to be paid in the next 12 months and is classified as a current liability at June 30, 2004), and NL will be required to recognize the remaining settlement amount in its taxable income over the next 15 years beginning in 2004. NL has signed the settlement agreement that was drafted by the IRS. The IRS will sign the settlement agreement after certain procedural matters are concluded, which procedural matters both NL and its outside legal counsel believe are perfunctory. NL had previously provided accruals to cover its estimated additional tax liability (and related interest) concerning this matter. As a result of the settlement, NL has decreased its previous estimate of the amount of additional income taxes and interest it will be required to pay, and NL recognized a \$12.6 million tax benefit in the second quarter of 2004 related to the revised estimate. In addition, during the second quarter of 2004, the Company recognized a \$30.5 million tax benefit related to the reversal of a deferred income tax asset valuation allowance related to certain tax attributes of EMS which NL believes now meet the "more-likely-than-not" recognition criteria. A majority of the deferred income tax asset valuation allowance relates to net operating loss carryforwards of EMS. As a result of the settlement agreement, NL (which previously was not allowed to utilize such net operating loss carryforwards of EMS) will fully utilize such carryforwards in its 2003 taxable year, eliminating the need for a valuation allowance related to such carryforwards. The remainder of the deferred income tax asset valuation allowance relates to deductible temporary differences associated with accrued environmental obligations of EMS which NL now believes meet the "more-likely-than-not" recognition criteria since, as a result of the settlement agreement, such obligations and the related tax deductions will be included in NL's taxable income.

- o Kronos has received a preliminary tax assessment related to 1993 from the Belgian tax authorities proposing tax deficiencies, including related interest, of approximately euro 6 million (\$7 million at June 30, 2004). Kronos has filed a protest to this assessment, and believes that a significant portion of the assessment is without merit. The Belgian tax authorities have filed a lien on the fixed assets of Kronos' Belgian TiO2 operations in connection with this assessment. In April 2003, Kronos received a notification from the Belgian tax authorities of their intent to assess a tax deficiency related to 1999 that, including interest, is expected to be approximately euro 13 million (\$16 million). Kronos believes the proposed assessment is substantially without merit, and Kronos has filed a written response.
- o The Norwegian tax authorities have notified Kronos of their intent to assess tax deficiencies of approximately kroner 12 million (\$2 million) relating to the years 1998 through 2000. Kronos has objected to this proposed assessment.

No assurance can be given that these tax matters will be resolved in the Company's favor in view of the inherent uncertainties involved in settlement initiatives, court and tax proceedings. The Company believes that it has provided adequate accruals for additional taxes and related interest expense which may ultimately result from all such examinations and believes that the ultimate disposition of such examinations should not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

At December 31, 2003, Kronos had a significant amount of net operating loss carryforwards for German corporate and trade tax purposes, all of which have no expiration date. These net operating loss carryforwards were generated by Kronos International principally during the 1990's when Kronos International had a significantly higher level of outstanding indebtedness than is currently outstanding. For financial reporting purposes, however, the benefit of such net operating loss carryforwards had not previously been recognized because Kronos did not believe they met the "more-likely-than-not" recognition criteria, and accordingly Kronos had a deferred income tax asset valuation allowance offsetting the benefit of such net operating loss carryforwards and Kronos' other tax attributes in Germany. Prior to the end of 2003, Kronos believed there was significant uncertainty regarding its ability to utilize such net operating

loss carryforwards under German tax law and, principally because of this uncertainty, Kronos had concluded the benefit of the net operating loss carryforwards did not meet the "more-likely-than-not" criteria. By the end of 2003, and primarily as a result of a favorable German court ruling in 2003 and the procedures Kronos had completed during 2003 with respect to the filing of certain amended German tax returns (as discussed below), Kronos had concluded that the significant uncertainty regarding its ability to utilize such net operating loss carryforwards under German tax law had been eliminated. However, at the end of 2003, Kronos believed that it would generate a taxable loss in Germany during 2004. Such expectation was based primarily upon then current levels of prices for TiO2, and the fact that Kronos was experiencing a downward trend in its TiO2 selling prices and Kronos did not have any indication that the downward trend would improve. Accordingly, Kronos continued to conclude at the end of 2003 that the benefit of the German net operating loss carryforwards did not meet the "more-likely-than-not" criteria. The expectation for a taxable loss in Germany continued through the end of the first quarter of 2004. By the end of the second quarter of 2004, however, Kronos' TiO2 selling prices had started to increase, and Kronos believes its selling prices will continue to increase during the second half of 2004 after Kronos and its major competitors announced an additional round of price increases. Consequently, Kronos' revised projections now reflect taxable income for Germany in 2004 as well as 2005. Accordingly, based on all available evidence, Kronos concluded that the benefit of the net operating loss carryforwards and other German tax attributes now meet the "more-likely-than-not" recognition criteria, and Kronos reversed the deferred income tax asset valuation allowance related to Germany. Accordingly, in the first six months of 2004, Kronos recognized a \$254.3 million income tax benefit related to the reversal of such deferred income tax asset valuation allowance attributable to Kronos' income tax attributes in Germany (principally the net operating loss carryforwards). Of such \$254.3 million, \$8.7 million relates primarily to the utilization of the German net operating loss carryforwards during the first six months of 2004, the benefit of which had previously not met the "more-likely-than-not" recognition criteria, and \$245.6 million relates to the German deferred income tax asset valuation allowance attributable to the remaining German net operating loss carryforwards and other tax attributes as of June 30, 2004, the benefit of which Kronos has concluded now meet the "more-likely-than-not" recognition criteria. At June 30, 2004, the net operating loss carryforwards for German corporate and trade tax purposes aggregated the equivalent of \$594 million and \$255 million, respectively, all of which have no expiration date.

In the first quarter of 2003, Kronos International ("KII") was notified by the German Federal Fiscal Court that the Court had ruled in KII's favor concerning a claim for refund suit in which KII sought refunds of prior taxes paid during the periods 1990 through 1997. KII and KII's German operating subsidiary were required to file amended tax returns with the German tax authorities to receive refunds for such years, and all of such amended returns were filed during 2003. Such amended returns reflected an aggregate net refund of taxes and related interest to KII and its German operating subsidiary of euro 26.9 million (\$32.1 million), and the Company recognized the benefit of these net refunds in its 2003 results of operations. During the first six months of 2004, the Company recognized the benefit of euro 2.5 million (\$3.1 million) related to additional net interest which has accrued on the outstanding refund amounts. Assessments and refunds will be processed by year as the respective returns are reviewed by the tax authorities. Certain interest components may also be refunded separately. The German tax authorities have reviewed and accepted the amended returns with respect to the 1990 and 1991 tax years. Through June 2004, KII's German operating subsidiary had received net refunds of euro 24.5 million (\$28.4 million when received). KII believes it will receive the remainder of the net refunds of taxes and related interest during the remainder of 2004. In addition to the refunds for the 1990 to 1997 periods, the court ruling also resulted in a refund of 1999 income taxes and interest for which KII received euro 21.5 million (\$24.6 million) in 2003, and the Company recognized the benefit of this refund in the second quarter of 2003.

Note 12 - Minority interest:

December 31, 2003	June 30, 2004
-----	-----
(In thousands)	

Minority interest in net assets:

NL Industries	\$31,262	\$ 62,522
Kronos Worldwide	11,076	23,943

CompX International	48,424	49,829
Other subsidiaries of NL	9,027	9,635
	-----	-----
	\$99,789	\$145,929
	=====	=====

Six months ended  
June 30,  
2003                      2004  
----                      ----  
(In thousands)

Minority interest in income (loss) before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle:

NL Industries	\$5,886	\$30,232
Kronos Worldwide	-	17,239
CompX International	272	1,525
Tremont Corporation	(217)	-
Other subsidiaries of NL	158	557
	-----	-----
	\$6,099	\$49,553
	=====	=====

Tremont Corporation. The Company no longer reports minority interest in Tremont's net assets or net earnings (losses) subsequent to the February 2003 mergers of Valhi and Tremont.

Waste Control Specialists. As previously reported, all of Waste Control Specialists aggregate inception-to-date net losses accrued to the Company for financial reporting purposes prior to the time when Waste Control Specialists became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company in the second quarter of 2004. Accordingly, no minority interest in Waste Control Specialists has been recognized in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Kronos Worldwide. The Company commenced recognizing minority interest in Kronos' net assets and net earnings following NL's December 2003 distribution of a portion of the shares of Kronos common stock to its shareholders discussed in the 2003 Annual Report.

Other subsidiaries of NL. Minority interest in NL's other subsidiaries relates principally to EMS, NL's majority-owned environmental management subsidiary. EMS was established in 1998, at which time EMS contractually assumed certain of NL's environmental liabilities. EMS' earnings are based, in part, upon its ability to favorably resolve these liabilities on an aggregate basis. The shareholders of EMS, other than NL, actively manage the environmental liabilities and share in 39% of EMS' cumulative earnings. NL continues to consolidate EMS and provides accruals for the reasonably estimable costs for the settlement of EMS' environmental liabilities, as discussed in Note 13.

Note 13 - Commitments and contingencies:

Lead pigment litigation - NL.

NL's former operations included the manufacture of lead pigments for use in paint and lead-based paint. Since 1987, NL, other former manufacturers of lead pigments for use in paint and lead-based paint, and the Lead Industries Association (which discontinued business operations in 2002) have been named as defendants in various legal proceedings seeking damages for personal injury, property damage and governmental expenditures allegedly caused by the use of lead-based paints. Certain of these actions have been filed by or on behalf of states, large U.S. cities or their public housing authorities and school districts, and certain others have been asserted as class actions. These lawsuits seek recovery under a variety of theories, including public and private nuisance, negligent product design, negligent failure to warn, strict liability, breach of warranty, conspiracy/concert of action, aiding and abetting enterprise liability, market share liability, intentional tort, fraud and misrepresentation, violations of state consumer protection statutes, supplier



negligence and similar claims.

The plaintiffs in these actions generally seek to impose on the defendants responsibility for lead paint abatement and asserted health concerns associated with the use of lead-based paints, including damages for personal injury, contribution and/or indemnification for medical expenses, medical monitoring expenses and costs for educational programs. Several former cases have been dismissed or withdrawn. Most of the remaining cases are in various pre-trial stages. Some are on appeal following dismissal or summary judgment rulings in favor of the defendants. In addition, various other cases are pending (in which NL is not a defendant) seeking recovery for injury allegedly caused by lead pigment and lead-based paint. Although NL is not a defendant in these cases, the outcome of these cases may have an impact on additional cases being filed against NL.

NL believes these actions are without merit, intends to continue to deny all allegations of wrongdoing and liability and to defend against all actions vigorously. NL has neither lost nor settled any of these cases. NL has not accrued any amounts for the pending lead pigment and lead-based paint litigation. Liability that may result, if any, cannot reasonably be estimated. There can be no assurance that NL will not incur future liability in respect of this pending litigation in view of the inherent uncertainties involved in court and jury rulings in pending and possible future cases.

Environmental matters and litigation.

General. The Company's operations are governed by various federal, state, local and foreign environmental laws and regulations. Certain of the Company's businesses are and have been engaged in the handling, manufacture or use of substances or compounds that may be considered toxic or hazardous within the meaning of applicable environmental laws. As with other companies engaged in similar businesses, certain past and current operations and products of the Company have the potential to cause environmental or other damage. The Company has implemented and continues to implement various policies and programs in an effort to minimize these risks. The Company's policy is to comply with environmental laws and regulations at all of its plants and to continually strive to improve environmental performance in association with applicable industry initiatives. The Company believes that its operations are in substantial compliance with applicable requirements of environmental laws. From time to time, the Company may be subject to environmental regulatory enforcement under various statutes, resolution of which typically involves the establishment of compliance programs. It is possible that future developments, such as stricter requirements of environmental laws and enforcement policies thereunder, could adversely affect the Company's production, handling, use, storage, transportation, sale or disposal of such substances.

The Company's production facilities operate within an environmental regulatory framework in which governmental authorities typically are granted broad discretionary powers which allow them to issue operating permits under which the plants must operate. The Company believes all of its plants are in substantial compliance with applicable environmental laws. With respect to the Company's plants, neither the Company nor any of its subsidiaries have been notified of any environmental claim in the United States or any foreign jurisdiction by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") or any applicable foreign authority or any state, provincial or local authority.

Certain properties and facilities used in the Company's former businesses, including divested primary and secondary lead smelters and former mining locations, are the subject of civil litigation, administrative proceedings or investigations arising under federal and state environmental laws. Additionally, in connection with past disposal practices, the Company has been named as a defendant, potential responsible party ("PRP") or both, pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act ("CERCLA") and similar state laws in various governmental and private actions associated with waste disposal sites, mining locations, and facilities currently or previously owned, operated or used by the Company or its subsidiaries, or their predecessors, certain of which are on the U.S. EPA's Superfund National Priorities List or similar state lists. These proceedings seek cleanup costs, damages for personal injury or property damage and/or damages for injury to natural resources. Certain of these proceedings involve claims for substantial amounts. Although the Company may be jointly and severally liable for such costs, in most cases it is only one of a number of PRPs who may also be jointly and severally liable.

Environmental obligations are difficult to assess and estimate for numerous

reasons including the complexity and differing interpretations of governmental regulations, the number of PRPs and the PRPs' ability or willingness to fund such allocation of costs, their financial capabilities and the allocation of costs among PRPs, the solvency of other PRPs, the multiplicity of possible solutions, and the years of investigatory, remedial and monitoring activity required. In addition, the imposition of more stringent standards or requirements under environmental laws or regulations, new developments or changes respecting site cleanup costs or allocation of such costs among PRPs, solvency of other PRPs, the results of future testing and analysis undertaken with respect to certain sites or a determination that the Company is potentially responsible for the release of hazardous substances at other sites, could result in expenditures in excess of amounts currently estimated by the Company to be required for such matters. In addition, with respect to other PRPs and the fact that the Company may be jointly and severally liable for the total remediation cost at certain sites, the Company could ultimately be liable for amounts in excess of its accruals due to, among other things, reallocation of costs among PRPs or the insolvency of one of more PRPs. No assurance can be given that actual costs will not exceed accrued amounts or the upper end of the range for sites for which estimates have been made, and no assurance can be given that costs will not be incurred with respect to sites as to which no estimate presently can be made. Further, there can be no assurance that additional environmental matters will not arise in the future.

The Company records liabilities related to environmental remediation obligations when estimated future expenditures are probable and reasonably estimable. Such accruals are adjusted as further information becomes available or circumstances change. Estimated future expenditures are generally not discounted to their present value. Recoveries of remediation costs from other parties, if any, are recognized as assets when their receipt is deemed probable. At June 30, 2004, NL had recognized a \$1.5 million receivable from other PRPs at one site for recovery of remediation costs previously expended by NL pursuant to an agreement entered into by NL and such other PRPs. NL expects to receive the \$1.5 million recovery during the third quarter of 2004.

The exact time frame over which the Company makes payments with respect to its accrued environmental costs is unknown and is dependent upon, among other things, the timing of the actual remediation process that in part depends on factors outside the control of the Company. At each balance sheet date, the Company makes an estimate of the amount of its accrued environmental costs that will be paid out over the subsequent 12 months, and the Company classifies such amount as a current liability. The remainder of the accrued environmental costs is classified as a noncurrent liability.

A summary of the activity in the Company's accrued environmental costs during the first six months of 2004 is presented in the table below. The Company recognized a net reduction in its accrued environmental costs credited to income during the first six months of 2004 due primarily to the \$1.5 million recovery discussed above. The amount shown in the table below for payments against the Company's accrued environmental costs is net of such \$1.5 million recovery.

	Amount (In thousands)
Balance at December 31, 2003	\$86,681
Net reductions credited to income	(261)
Payments, net	(5,367)
	-----
Balance at June 30, 2004	\$81,053
	=====
Amounts recognized in the balance sheet at June 30, 2004:	
Current liability	\$20,026
Noncurrent liability	61,027
	-----
	\$81,053

NL. Certain properties and facilities used in NL's former operations, including divested primary and secondary lead smelters and former mining locations, are the subject of civil litigation, administrative proceedings or

investigations arising under federal and state environmental laws. Additionally, in connection with past disposal practices, NL has been named as a defendant, PRP, or both, pursuant to the CERCLA, and similar state laws in approximately 60 governmental and private actions associated with waste disposal sites, mining locations and facilities currently or previously owned, operated or used by NL, or its subsidiaries or their predecessors, certain of which are on the U.S. EPA's Superfund National Priorities List or similar state lists. These proceedings seek cleanup costs, damages for personal injury or property damage and/or damages for injury to natural resources. Certain of these proceedings involve claims for substantial amounts. Although NL may be jointly and severally liable for such costs, in most cases, it is only one of a number of PRPs who may also be jointly and severally liable.

On a quarterly basis, NL evaluates the potential range of its liability at sites where it has been named as a PRP or defendant, including sites for which EMS has contractually assumed NL's obligation. See Note 12. At June 30, 2004, NL had accrued \$73 million for those environmental matters which NL believes are reasonably estimable. NL believes it is not possible to estimate the range of costs for certain sites. The upper end of the range of reasonably possible costs to NL for sites for which NL believes it is possible to estimate costs is approximately \$101 million. NL's estimates of such liabilities have not been discounted to present value.

At June 30, 2004, there are approximately 15 sites for which NL is unable to estimate a range of costs. For these sites, generally the investigation is in the early stages, and it is either unknown as to whether or not NL actually had any association with the site, or if NL had association with the site, the nature of its responsibility, if any, for the contamination at the site and the extent of contamination. The timing on when information would become available to NL to allow NL to estimate a range of loss is unknown and dependent on events outside the control of NL, such as when the party alleging liability provides information to NL.

At June 30, 2004, NL had \$17 million in restricted cash, restricted cash equivalents and restricted marketable debt securities held by special purpose trusts, the assets of which can only be used to pay for certain of NL's future environmental remediation and other environmental expenditures (December 31, 2003 - \$24 million). Use of such restricted balances does not affect the Company's consolidated statements of cash flows.

Tremont. In July 2000 Tremont, entered into a voluntary settlement agreement with the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality and certain other PRPs pursuant to which Tremont and the other PRPs will undertake certain investigatory and interim remedial activities at a former mining site located in Hot Springs County, Arkansas. Tremont currently believes that it has accrued adequate amounts (\$1.9 million at June 30, 2004) to cover its share of probable and reasonably estimable environmental obligations. Tremont currently expects that the nature and extent of any final remediation measures that might be imposed with respect to this site will be known by 2006. Currently, no reasonable estimate can be made of the cost of any such final remediation measure, and accordingly Tremont has accrued no amounts at June 30, 2004 for any such cost. The amount accrued at June 30, 2004 represents Tremont's best estimate of the costs to be incurred through 2006 with respect to the interim remediation measures.

TIMET. At June 30, 2004, TIMET had accrued approximately \$4.1 million for environmental cleanup matters, principally related to TIMET's facility in Nevada. The upper end of the range of reasonably possible costs related to these matters is approximately \$8.6 million.

Other. The Company has also accrued approximately \$6.6 million at June 30, 2004 in respect of other environmental cleanup matters. Such accrual is near the upper end of the range of the Company's estimate of reasonably estimable costs for such matters.

Other litigation.

Reference is made to the Company's 2003 Annual Report and Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 for a discussion of certain other legal proceedings to which the Company is a party.

NL has been named as a defendant in various lawsuits in a variety of jurisdictions, alleging personal injuries as a result of occupational exposure to asbestos, silica and/or mixed dust in connection with formerly owned operations. Approximately 485 of these cases involving a total of approximately

30,000 plaintiffs and their spouses remain pending. Of these plaintiffs, approximately 18,400 are represented by eight cases pending in Mississippi state courts. NL has not accrued any amounts for this litigation because liability that may result to NL, if any, cannot be reasonably estimated. In addition, from time to time, NL has received notices regarding asbestos or silica claims purporting to be brought against former subsidiaries of NL, including notices provided to insurers with which NL has entered into settlements extinguishing certain insurance policies. These insurers may seek indemnification from NL.

In May 2004, the parties agreed to the dismissal with prejudice of the previously-reported matter Ken Bigham, et al. v. Valhi, Inc., et al.

In addition to the litigation described above, the Company and its affiliates are also involved in various other environmental, contractual, product liability, patent (or intellectual property), employment and other claims and disputes incidental to its present and former businesses. In certain cases, the Company has insurance coverage for such items. The Company currently believes that the disposition of all claims and disputes, individually or in the aggregate, should not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Other matters

TIMET is the primary obligor on workers' compensation bonds having a maximum aggregate obligation of \$3.0 million that were issued on behalf of a divested subsidiary that is currently under Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection. The issuers of the bonds have been required to make payments on the bonds for applicable claims and have requested reimbursement from TIMET. Through June 30, 2004, TIMET has reimbursed the issuer approximately \$1.0 million under these bonds, and \$1.0 million remains accrued for future payments. TIMET may revise its estimated liability under these bonds in the future as additional facts become known or claims develop.

Note 14 - Employee benefit plans:

Defined benefit plans. The Company maintains various U.S. and foreign defined benefit pension plans. Variances from actuarially assumed rates will result in increases or decreases in accumulated pension obligations, pension expense and funding requirements in future periods. The components of net periodic defined benefit pension cost are presented in the table below.

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2003	2004	2003	2004
	----	----	----	----
	(In thousands)			
Service cost benefits	\$ 1,335	\$ 1,459	\$ 2,633	\$ 3,128
Interest cost on projected benefit obligations	5,006	5,455	9,870	10,952
Expected return on plan assets	(4,898)	(5,222)	(10,230)	(10,488)
Amortization of prior service cost	88	140	175	281
Amortization of net transition obligations	183	147	355	290
Recognized actuarial losses	605	1,082	1,207	2,160
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	\$ 2,319	\$ 3,061	\$ 4,010	\$ 6,323
	=====	=====	=====	=====

Postretirement benefits other than pensions. Certain subsidiaries currently provide certain health care and life insurance benefits for eligible retired employees. Variances from actuarially-assumed rates will result in additional increases or decreases in accumulated OPEB obligations, net periodic OPEB cost and funding requirements in future periods. The components of net periodic OPEB cost are presented in the table below.

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2003	2004	2003	2004
	----	----	----	----
	(In thousands)			
Service cost	\$ 38	\$ 56	\$ 73	\$ 113

Interest cost	705	879	1,405	1,539
Amortization of prior service credit	(519)	(477)	(1,038)	(732)
Recognized actuarial losses	11	45	19	90
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	\$ 235	\$ 503	\$ 459	\$ 1,010
	=====	=====	=====	=====

The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (the "Medicare 2003 Act") introduced a prescription drug benefit under Medicare (Medicare Part D) as well as a federal subsidy to sponsors of retiree health care benefit plans that provide a benefit that is at least actuarially equivalent to Medicare Part D. Detailed regulations necessary to implement the Medicare 2003 Act have not been issued, including those that would specify the manner in which actuarial equivalency would be determined, the evidence required to demonstrate actuarial equivalence and the documentation requirements necessary to be receive the subsidy. Until such definitive regulations are issued, the Company is unable to determine whether the prescription drug benefit offered under its postretirement benefit plans is at least actuarially equivalent to Medicare Part D. Accordingly, the Company's accumulated postretirement benefit obligation and net periodic postretirement benefit cost, as reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements, do not reflect any effect of the federal subsidy. When such definitive regulations are issued or at such other time that the Company can determine whether the prescription drug benefit offered under its postretirement benefit plans is at least actuarially equivalent to Medicare Part D, the Company would account for the effect of the federal subsidy, if any, prospectively from that date, as permitted by and in accordance with FASB Staff Position No. 106-2.

Note 15 - Accounting principle newly adopted in 2004:

The Company complied with the consolidation requirements of FIN No. 46R, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of ARB No. 51, as amended, as of March 31, 2004. The Company does not have any involvement with any variable interest entity (as that term is defined in FIN No. 46R) covered by the scope of FIN No. 46R that would require the Company to consolidate such entity under FIN No. 46R which had not already been consolidated under prior applicable GAAP, and therefore the impact to the Company of adopting the consolidation requirements of FIN No. 46R was not material.

-----  
ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS  
-----

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS:

General

The Company reported net income of \$263.7 million, or \$2.19 per diluted share, in the second quarter of 2004 compared to net income of \$17.8 million, or \$.15 per diluted share, in the second quarter of 2003. For the first six months of 2004, the Company reported income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle of \$267.1 million, or \$2.22 per diluted share, compared to income of \$19.4 million, or \$.16 per diluted share, in the first six months of 2003.

The Company believes the analysis presented in the following table is useful in understanding the comparability of its results of operations for the 2003 and 2004 periods presented. Each of these items are more fully discussed below in the applicable sections of this "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Results of Operations" or in the 2003 Annual Report.

Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle - diluted earnings per share -			
Three months ended		Six months ended	
June 30,		June 30,	
2003	2004	2003	2004

	----	----	----	----
German valuation allowance adjustment (1)	\$ -	\$1.75	\$ -	\$1.75
Tax benefits related to EMS (2)	-	.30	-	.30
Kronos contract dispute settlement (3)	-	.03	-	.03
Refund of prior year German income taxes(4)	.17	-	.17	-
Operations and other, net	(.02)	.11	(.01)	.14
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	<u>\$ .15</u>	<u>\$2.19</u>	<u>\$ .16</u>	<u>\$2.22</u>
	=====	=====	=====	=====

- (1) Kronos' reversal of its German deferred income tax asset valuation allowance as of June 30, 2004.
- (2) Reversal of the deferred income tax asset valuation allowance related to EMS and adjustment of estimated tax due upon IRS settlement.
- (3) Kronos' income from settlement of a contract dispute.
- (4) Refund of prior year German income taxes received.

The increase in the Company's diluted earnings per share from the first quarter and first six months of 2003 compared to the same periods in 2004 is due primarily to the net effects of (i) changes in chemicals operating income, (ii) higher component products operating income, (iii) lower environmental remediation and legal expenses of NL, (iv) the reversal of Kronos' German deferred income tax asset valuation allowance and (v) the tax benefits related to EMS. Overall, the Company currently believes its net income in 2004 will be higher than 2003 due in part to the impact of the tax benefits recognized in 2004.

As provided by the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, the Company cautions that the statements in this Quarterly Annual Report on Form 10-Q relating to matters that are not historical facts, including, but not limited to, statements found in this Item 7 - "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," are forward-looking statements that represent management's beliefs and assumptions based on currently available information. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as "believes," "intends," "may," "should," "could," "anticipates," "expected" or comparable terminology, or by discussions of strategies or trends. Although the Company believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, it cannot give any assurances that these expectations will prove to be correct. Such statements by their nature involve substantial risks and uncertainties that could significantly impact expected results, and actual future results could differ materially from those described in such forward-looking statements. While it is not possible to identify all factors, the Company continues to face many risks and uncertainties. Among the factors that could cause actual future results to differ materially are the risks and uncertainties discussed in this Quarterly Report and those described from time to time in the Company's other filings with the SEC including, but not limited to, the following:

- o Future supply and demand for the Company's products,
- o The extent of the dependence of certain of the Company's businesses on certain market sectors (such as the dependence of TIMET's titanium metals business on the aerospace industry),
- o The cyclicity of certain of the Company's businesses (such as Kronos' TiO2 operations and TIMET's titanium metals operations),
- o The impact of certain long-term contracts on certain of the Company's businesses (such as the impact of TIMET's long-term contracts with certain of its customers and such customers' performance thereunder and the impact of TIMET's long-term contracts with certain of its vendors on its ability to reduce or increase supply or achieve lower costs),
- o Customer inventory levels (such as the extent to which Kronos' customers may, from time to time, accelerate purchases of TiO2 in advance of anticipated price increases or defer purchases of TiO2 in advance of anticipated price decreases, or the relationship between inventory levels of TIMET's customers and such customers' current inventory requirements and the impact of such relationship on their purchases from TIMET),
- o Changes in raw material and other operating costs (such as energy costs),
- o The possibility of labor disruptions,
- o General global economic and political conditions (such as changes in the level of gross domestic product in various regions of the world and the

- o impact of such changes on demand for, among other things, TiO2),
- o Competitive products and substitute products,
- o Customer and competitor strategies,
- o The impact of pricing and production decisions,
- o Competitive technology positions,
- o The introduction of trade barriers,
- o Fluctuations in currency exchange rates (such as changes in the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and each of the euro, the Norwegian kroner and the Canadian dollar),
- o Operating interruptions (including, but not limited to, labor disputes, leaks, fires, explosions, unscheduled or unplanned downtime and transportation interruptions),
- o The ability to implement headcount reductions in certain operations in a cost effective manner within the constraints of non-U.S. governmental regulations, and the timing and amount of any cost savings realized,
- o The ability of the Company to renew or refinance credit facilities,
- o Uncertainties associated with new product development (such as TIMET's ability to develop new end-uses for its titanium products),
- o The ultimate outcome of income tax audits, tax settlement initiatives or other tax matters,
- o The ultimate ability to utilize income tax attributes, the benefit of which has been recognized under the "more-likely-than-not" recognition criteria (such as Kronos' ability to utilize its German net operating loss carryforwards),
- o Environmental matters (such as those requiring emission and discharge standards for existing and new facilities),
- o Government laws and regulations and possible changes therein (such as changes in government regulations which might impose various obligations on present and former manufacturers of lead pigment and lead-based paint, including NL, with respect to asserted health concerns associated with the use of such products),
- o The ultimate resolution of pending litigation (such as NL's lead pigment litigation and litigation surrounding environmental matters of NL, Tremont and TIMET), and
- o Possible future litigation.

Should one or more of these risks materialize (or the consequences of such a development worsen), or should the underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results could differ materially from those forecasted or expected. The Company disclaims any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement whether as a result of changes in information, future events or otherwise.

#### Chemicals

Relative changes in Kronos' TiO2 sales and operating income during the 2003 and 2004 periods presented are primarily due to (i) relative changes in TiO2 average selling prices and (ii) relative changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Selling prices (in billing currencies) for TiO2, Kronos' principal product, were generally increasing during the first quarter of 2003, were generally flat during the second quarter of 2003, were generally decreasing during the third and fourth quarters of 2003 and the first quarter of 2004 and were generally flat during the second quarter of 2004.

	Three months ended June 30,			Six months ended June 30,		
	2003	2004	% Change	2003	2004	% Change
	-----					
	(In millions, except percentages)					
Net sales	\$266.6	\$295.7	+11%	\$519.6	\$559.0	+8%
Operating income	31.7	36.2	+14%	62.4	58.4	-7%
TiO2 data:						
Sales volumes*	121	137	+13%	240	255	+6%
Production volumes*	120	123	+3%	237	240	+1%
Percentage change in TiO2 average selling prices:						
Using actual foreign currency exchange rates			-%			+2%
Impact of changes in foreign currency						

exchange rates	-5%	-7%
	----	----
In billing currencies	-5%	-5%
	====	====

\* Thousands of metric tons

Kronos' sales increased \$29.1 million (11%) in the second quarter of 2004 compared to the second quarter of 2003, and increased \$39.4 million (8%) for the first six months of 2004 as the favorable effect of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, which increased chemicals sales by approximately \$13 million and \$35 million, respectively, as further discussed below, and higher sales volumes more than offset the impact of lower average TiO2 selling prices. Excluding the effect of fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to other currencies, Kronos' average TiO2 selling prices in billing currencies in each of the second quarter and first six months of 2004 were 5% lower than the comparable periods of 2003. When translated from billing currencies into U.S. dollars using actual foreign currency exchange rates prevailing during the respective periods, Kronos' average TiO2 selling prices in the second quarter of 2004 were comparable to the second quarter of 2003, and increased 2% for the first six months of the year.

Kronos' sales are denominated in various currencies, including the U.S. dollar, the euro, other major European currencies and the Canadian dollar. The disclosure of the percentage change in Kronos' average TiO2 selling prices in billing currencies (which excludes the effects of fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to other currencies) is considered a "non-GAAP" financial measure under regulations of the SEC. The disclosure of the percentage change in Kronos' average TiO2 selling prices using actual foreign currency exchange rates prevailing during the respective periods is considered the most directly comparable financial measure presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP measure"). Kronos discloses percentage changes in its average TiO2 prices in billing currencies because Kronos believes such disclosure provides useful information to investors to allow them to analyze such changes without the impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates, thereby facilitating period-to-period comparisons of the relative changes in average selling prices in the actual various billing currencies. Generally, when the U.S. dollar either strengthens or weakens against other currencies, the percentage change in average selling prices in billing currencies will be higher or lower, respectively, than such percentage changes would be using actual exchange rates prevailing during the respective periods. The difference between the nil and 2% increases in Kronos' average TiO2 selling prices during the second quarter and first six months of 2004, respectively, as compared to the same periods of 2003 using actual foreign currency exchange rates prevailing during the respective periods (the GAAP measure) and the 5% decreases in Kronos' average TiO2 selling prices in billing currencies (the non-GAAP measure) during such periods is due to the effect of changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The above table presents in a tabular format (i) the percentage change in Kronos' average TiO2 selling prices using actual foreign currency exchange rates prevailing during the respective periods (the GAAP measure), (ii) the percentage change in Kronos' average TiO2 selling prices in billing currencies (the non-GAAP measure) and (iii) the percentage change due to changes in foreign currency exchange rates (or the reconciling item between the non-GAAP measure and the GAAP measure).

Reflecting the impact of partial implementation of prior price increase announcements, Kronos' average TiO2 selling prices in the second quarter of 2004 were generally flat as compared to the first quarter of 2004, reversing the downward trend that had existed since the third quarter of 2003. Kronos has also recently announced additional price increases of 4 cents per pound in the U.S., a Canadian 6 cents per pound in Canada and a euro 120 per metric ton in Europe, all of which are targeted to be implemented later in 2004. The extent to which all of such price increases will be realized will depend on, among other things, economic factors.

Chemicals operating income in the second quarter of 2004 includes \$6.3 million of income related to the settlement of a certain contract dispute with a customer. As part of the settlement, the customer agreed to make payments to Kronos through 2007 aggregating \$7.3 million. The \$6.3 million gain recognized represents the present value of the future payments to be paid by the customer to Kronos.

Kronos' TiO2 sales volumes in the second quarter of 2004 increased 13% compared to the second quarter of 2003, and volumes were 6% higher in the year-to-date period, as higher volumes in European and export markets more than



offset lower volumes in Canada. Kronos' operating income comparisons were also favorably impacted by higher production levels, which increased 3% in the second quarter of 2004 compared to the second quarter of 2003, and increased 1% in the first six months of 2004. Kronos' operating rates were near full capacity in both periods, and Kronos' sales and production volumes in the first six months of 2004 were both new records for Kronos. Operating income comparisons were negatively impacted by the lower average selling prices for TiO2.

Kronos has substantial operations and assets located outside the United States (primarily in Germany, Belgium, Norway and Canada). A significant amount of Kronos' sales generated from its non-U.S. operations are denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, primarily the euro, other major European currencies and the Canadian dollar. In addition, a portion of Kronos' sales generated from its non-U.S. operations are denominated in the U.S. dollar. Certain raw materials, primarily titanium-containing feedstocks, are purchased in U.S. dollars, while labor and other production costs are denominated primarily in local currencies. Consequently, the translated U.S. dollar value of Kronos' foreign sales and operating results are subject to currency exchange rate fluctuations which may favorably or adversely impact reported earnings and may affect the comparability of period-to-period operating results. Overall, fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to other currencies, primarily the euro, increased TiO2 sales in the second quarter and first six months of 2004 by a net amount of approximately \$13 million and \$35 million, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2003. Fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to other currencies similarly impacted Kronos' foreign currency-denominated operating expenses. Kronos' operating costs that are not denominated in the U.S. dollar, when translated into U.S. dollars, were higher in the 2004 periods as compared to the same periods of 2003. Overall, the net impact of currency exchange rate fluctuations increased chemicals operating income in the second quarter and first six months of 2004 by \$6 million and \$8 million, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2003.

Kronos expects its TiO2 sales and production volumes in calendar 2004 will be higher as compared to 2003. Kronos' average TiO2 selling prices, which declined during the second half of 2003 and first quarter of 2004, began to rise during the second quarter of 2004, and should continue to rise during the remainder of the year. Nevertheless, Kronos expects its average TiO2 selling prices, in billing currencies, will be lower in calendar 2004 as compared to 2003. Overall, Kronos expects its chemicals operating income in 2004 will be lower than 2003. Kronos' expectations as to the future prospects of Kronos and the TiO2 industry are based upon a number of factors beyond Kronos' control, including worldwide growth of gross domestic product, competition in the marketplace, unexpected or earlier-than-expected capacity additions and technological advances. If actual developments differ from Kronos' expectations, Kronos' results of operations could be unfavorably affected.

Chemicals operating income, as presented above, is stated net of amortization of Valhi's purchase accounting adjustments made in conjunction with its acquisitions of its interest in NL and Kronos. Such adjustments result in additional depreciation and amortization expense beyond amounts separately reported by Kronos. Such additional non-cash expenses reduced chemicals operating income, as reported by Valhi, by \$7.4 million in the first six months of 2003 and \$8.0 million in the first six months of 2004.

#### Component products

	Three months ended June 30,			Six months ended June 30,		
	2003	2004	% Change	2003	2004	% Change
Net sales	\$ 49.1	\$ 56.8	+14%	\$100.7	\$109.9	+9%
Operating income	.9	5.9	+575%	2.2	8.8	+300%

(In millions, except percentages)

Component products sales were higher in the second quarter and first six months of 2004 as compared to the same periods in 2003 due in part to the favorable effect of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. Fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to other currencies increased component products sales by \$900,000 in the second quarter of 2004 as compared to the second quarter of 2003, and increased sales by \$3.4 million in the first six months of the year. Component products sales comparisons were also impacted by higher sales volumes of security products and precision slide

products, the effect of price increases for certain products and slightly lower sales volumes of ergonomic products.

During the second quarter of 2004, sales of slide and security products increased 28% and 5%, respectively, as compared to the second quarter of 2003, while sales of ergonomic products decreased 5% (year-to-date increases for slide and security products of 17% and 3%, respectively, and year-to-date decrease of 4% for ergonomic products). The percentage changes in both slide and ergonomic products include the impact resulting from changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Sales of security products are generally denominated in U.S. dollars.

Component products operating income comparisons were favorably impacted by the effect of certain cost reduction initiatives undertaken in 2002 and 2003, including retooling of CompX's facility in Michigan, consolidating CompX's two Canadian facilities into one facility and restructuring the CompX's operations in the Netherlands. In addition, operating income comparisons were also favorably impacted by relative changes in product mix of security products, the price increases for certain products and expenses of approximately \$800,000 incurred during the first six months of 2003 (\$400,000 in the second quarter) associated with the consolidation of the two Canadian facilities into one facility.

CompX has substantial operations and assets located outside the United States in Canada, the Netherlands and Taiwan. A portion of CompX's sales generated from its non-U.S. operations are denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, principally the Canadian dollar, the euro and the New Taiwan dollar. In addition, a portion of CompX's sales generated from its non-U.S. operations (principally in Canada) are denominated in the U.S. dollar. Most raw materials, labor and other production costs for such non-U.S. operations are denominated primarily in local currencies. Consequently, the translated U.S. dollar values of CompX's foreign sales and operating results are subject to currency exchange rate fluctuations which may favorably or unfavorably impact reported earnings and may affect comparability of period-to-period operating results. During the second quarter and first six months of 2004, currency exchange rate fluctuations positively impacted component products sales comparisons with the same period in 2003. Currency exchange rate fluctuations did not significantly impact component products operating income comparisons for the same periods.

While demand has improved across most of CompX's product segments, certain customers are seeking lower cost Asian sources as alternatives to CompX's products. Although CompX believes the impact of this will be mitigated through its ongoing initiatives to expand both new products and new market opportunities, the recent increase in its order rates may be moderated to a certain extent in the near term. Asian-sourced competitive pricing pressures are expected to continue to be a challenge as those manufacturers, particularly those located in China, gain market share. CompX has responded to the competitive pricing pressure in part by reducing production cost through product reengineering or improvement in manufacturing processes, moving production to lower-cost facilities and providing value-added customer support services that foreign manufacturers are generally unable to provide. However, in some cases CompX has determined to forgo unprofitable future sales in response to the competitive pricing pressures.

Additionally, CompX's cost for steel continues to rise dramatically due to the continued high demand and shortages worldwide. While CompX has thus far been able to pass a majority of its higher raw material costs on to its customers through price increases and surcharges, there is no assurance that it would be able to continue to pass along any additional higher costs to its customers. The price increases and surcharges may accelerate the efforts of some of CompX's customers to find less expensive products from foreign manufacturers. CompX will continue to focus on cost improvement initiatives, utilizing lean manufacturing techniques and prudent balance sheet management in order to minimize the impact of lower sales, particularly to the office furniture industry, and to develop value-added customer relationships with additional focus on sales of CompX's higher-margin ergonomic computer support systems to improve operating results.

CompX currently expects to realize annual cost savings of \$3.5 million to \$4 million as the result of the headcount reductions implemented during 2003 at its Netherlands operations. Although CompX expects the operating results of its Netherlands operations will continue to improve, CompX is evaluating the overall strategic role of such operations for CompX as a whole, and additional actions could be taken in the future, including the possible sale of some or all of such operations, and additional actions could be taken in the future that could result in significant charges for asset impairment, including goodwill, and

other costs in future periods. These actions, along with other activities to eliminate excess capacity, are designed to position CompX to more effectively expand on new product and new market opportunities to improve CompX's profitability.

#### Waste management

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2003 ----	2004 ----	2003 ----	2004 ----
	(In millions)			
Net sales	\$ 1.1	\$ 1.4	\$ 2.5	\$ 2.2
Operating loss	(3.6)	(3.6)	(5.6)	(6.8)

Waste management sales decreased, and its operating loss increased, in the first six months of 2004 compared to the same period of 2003 due to continued weak demand for waste management services and costs associated with the enhancement of the operating management team. Waste Control Specialists also continues to explore opportunities to obtain certain types of new business (including treatment and storage of certain types of waste) that, if obtained, could increase its sales, and decrease its operating loss, in 2004 as compared to 2003.

Waste Control Specialists currently has permits which allow it to treat, store and dispose of a broad range of hazardous and toxic wastes, and to treat and store a broad range of low-level and mixed-level radioactive wastes. The waste management industry currently is experiencing a relative decline in the number of environmental remediation projects generating wastes. In addition, efforts on the part of generators to reduce the volume of waste and/or manage wastes onsite at their facilities also has resulted in weak demand for Waste Control Specialists' waste management services. These factors have led to reduced demand and increased downward price pressure for waste management services. While Waste Control Specialists believes its broad range of authorizations for the treatment and storage of low-level and mixed-level radioactive waste streams provides certain competitive advantages, a key element of Waste Control Specialists' long-term strategy to provide "one-stop shopping" for hazardous, low-level and mixed-level radioactive wastes includes obtaining additional regulatory authorizations for the disposal of low-level and mixed low-level radioactive wastes.

Prior to June 2003, the state law in Texas (where Waste Control Specialists' disposal facility is located) prohibited the applicable Texas regulatory agency from issuing a license for the disposal of a broad range of low-level and mixed low-level radioactive waste to a private enterprise operating a disposal facility in Texas. In June 2003, a new Texas state law was enacted that allows the regulatory agency to issue a low-level radioactive waste disposal license to a private entity, such as Waste Control Specialists. Waste Control Specialists has applied for such a disposal license with the applicable regulatory agency. The length of time that the regulatory agency will take to review and act upon the license application is uncertain, although Waste Control Specialists does not currently expect the agency would issue any final decision on the license application before 2007. There can be no assurance that Waste Control Specialists will be successful in obtaining any such license.

Waste Control Specialists is continuing its efforts to increase its sales volumes from waste streams that conform to authorizations it currently has in place. Waste Control Specialists is also continuing to identify certain waste streams, and attempting to obtain modifications to its current permits, that would allow for treatment, storage and disposal of additional types of wastes. The ability of Waste Control Specialists to achieve increased sales volumes of these waste streams, together with improved operating efficiencies through further cost reductions and increased capacity utilization, are important factors in Waste Control Specialists' ability to achieve improved cash flows. The Company currently believes Waste Control Specialists can become a viable, profitable operation, even if Waste Control Specialists is unsuccessful in obtaining a license for the disposal of a broad range of low-level and mixed low-level radioactive wastes. However, there can be no assurance that Waste Control Specialists' efforts will prove successful in improving its cash flows. Valhi has in the past, and may in the future, consider strategic alternatives with respect to Waste Control Specialists. There can be no assurance that the Company would not report a loss with respect to any such strategic transaction.

Equity in earnings of TIMET

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2003	2004	2003	2004
	----	----	----	----
	(In millions)			
TIMET historical:				
Net sales	\$101.8	\$124.1	\$201.1	\$244.6
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Operating income (loss)	\$ (2.1)	\$ 7.0	\$(10.2)	\$ 9.8
Other general corporate, net	(.2)	.1	(.5)	.9
Interest expense	(4.0)	(4.1)	(8.3)	(8.4)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	(6.3)	3.0	(19.0)	2.3
Provision for income taxes	(.1)	(.8)	(.5)	(1.3)
Minority interest	-	(.3)	(.2)	(.8)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Income (loss) before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$ (6.4)	\$ 1.9	\$(19.7)	\$ .2
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Equity in earnings (losses) of TIMET	\$ (1.1)	\$ 2.1	\$(3.9)	\$ 2.5
	=====	=====	=====	=====

TIMET reported higher sales in the second quarter and first six months of 2004 as compared to the same periods of 2003, and TIMET improved from reporting operating losses in the 2003 periods to operating income in the 2004 periods. TIMET's operating results improved in the second quarter of 2004 as compared to the second quarter of 2003 in part due to a 33% increase in sales volumes of mill products, a 3% increase in sales volumes of melted products (ingot and slab) and an 8% increase in melted product average selling prices. These increases were partially offset by a 3% decrease in mill product average selling prices (which prices were, however, positively impacted by the continued weakening of the U.S. dollar compared to the British pound sterling and the euro and negatively impacted by changes in product mix). TIMET's sales volumes of mill products and melted products increased 30% and 21%, respectively, in the first six months of 2004 as compared to the same period in 2003, while average selling prices for melted products increased 1% and selling prices of mill products decreased 3%. The increase in sales volumes of mill products is due primarily to higher volumes in the commercial and military aerospace sector and industrial markets. The increase in sales volumes for melted products is principally the result of new customer relationships and market share gains.

TIMET's operating results in the first six months of 2004 includes income in the first quarter of \$1.9 million related to a change in TIMET's vacation policy. TIMET's operating results comparisons were also favorably impacted by improved plant operating rates, which increased from 55% in the first six months of 2003 to 72% in the first six months of 2004, and TIMET's continued cost management efforts. TIMET's operating results comparisons were negatively impacted by relative changes in TIMET's LIFO inventory reserves, which reduced TIMET's operating income in the second quarter and first six months of 2004 by \$2.2 million and \$2.5 million, respectively, as compared with the same periods in 2003, as well as higher costs for raw materials (scrap and alloys), energy and employee incentive compensation.

TIMET currently expects sales revenues for the full year 2004 will range from \$490 million to \$510 million. Melted product sales volumes for the full year 2004 are expected to approximate 5,300 metric tons (a 12% increase over 2003 levels), and mill product sales volumes for the full year 2004 are expected to approximate 11,900 metric tons (a 34% increased over 2003 levels). These increases reflect expected volume improvements in all key markets - commercial and military aerospace, industrial and emerging.

TIMET currently expects its production volumes will remain relatively stable throughout the remainder of 2004, resulting in overall capacity utilization during 2004 of approximately 70% to 75% (as compared to 72% in the first six months of 2004). TIMET's backlog of unfilled orders was approximately \$265 million at June 30, 2004, up from \$180 million at December 31, 2003 and \$220 million at June 30, 2003. Substantially all the June 30, 2004 backlog is scheduled to ship within the next 12 months. TIMET's order backlog may not be a reliable indicator of future business activity.

The Airline Monitor, a leading aerospace publication, recently issued its July 2004 forecast for commercial aircraft deliveries, which indicated a significant increase in large commercial aircraft deliveries in 2005 through 2008 as compared to its January 2004 forecast. Although the commercial airline industry continues to struggle financially, this improved forecast is consistent with the recent economic signs of an improving global operating environment in the commercial airline industry, and TIMET expects strong sales volumes will continue in the commercial aerospace sector for the remainder of 2004. Additionally, TIMET expects sales volumes growth in emerging markets, primarily in the military armor sectors, during the second half of 2004.

TIMET currently expects its full year 2004 gross margin to range from 9% to 11% of net sales. TIMET's operating costs are affected by a number of factors including customer and product mix, material yields, plant operating rates, raw material costs, labor costs and energy costs. Raw material costs represent the largest portion of TIMET's manufacturing cost structure. TIMET expects to manufacture about one-third of its titanium sponge requirements during 2004. The unit cost of titanium sponge manufactured at TIMET's Nevada facility in 2004 is expected to decrease relative to 2003, due primarily to higher sponge plant operating rates as the plant reached full capacity in the second quarter of 2004. TIMET expects the aggregate cost of its purchased sponge and alloys will increase through the remainder of 2004 and into 2005. Additionally, the industry is currently experiencing higher prices for scrap, and TIMET expects those costs will continue to increase throughout 2004 and into 2005. When the demand for titanium melted and mill products begins to increase, TIMET's requirements precede the increase in scrap generation by downstream customers and the supply chain, placing upward pressure on the market price of scrap. In February 2004, TIMET announced an increase in prices on all non-contract titanium mill and melted products in an effort to offset the effects of such increased raw material and energy costs. In the event TIMET is unable to realize certain of these price increases, TIMET may not be able to meet all demand because of its inability to obtain certain raw materials at acceptable prices.

TIMET's selling, general, administrative and development expenses for 2004 are expected to be higher compared to 2003, in part due to potential employee profit sharing payouts based upon TIMET's various incentive compensation plans. TIMET currently anticipates that it will receive orders from Boeing for about 1.5 million pounds of product during 2004. At this projected order level, TIMET expects to recognize about \$23 million of income in 2004 under the Boeing long-term agreement take-or-pay provisions, including \$2.5 million recognized in the second quarter of 2004. Such earnings are reported as part of TIMET's operating income, but will not be included in its sales revenue, sales volume or gross margin.

TIMET currently expects its operating income in 2004 will be between \$28 million and \$38 million, and TIMET currently expects its full year net income for 2004 will range between \$8 million and \$18 million. Such current expectations do not reflect any potential effects that might result from the completion of TIMET's offer to exchange its convertible preferred debt securities for shares of TIMET's Series A Preferred Stock discussed in Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Such expectations also do not include any effect that might occur should TIMET decide it would be appropriate to reverse all or a portion of its deferred income tax asset valuation allowance during the second half of 2004, or a non-operating gain TIMET might recognize in the second half of 2004 related to the potential sale of certain real property at its Nevada production facility.

The Company accounts for its interest in TIMET by the equity method. The Company's equity in earnings of TIMET differs from the amounts that would be expected by applying the Company's ownership percentage to TIMET's separately-reported earnings because of the effect of amortization of purchase accounting adjustments made by Tremont in conjunction with Tremont's acquisitions of its interests in TIMET. Amortization of such basis differences generally increases earnings (or reduces losses) attributable to TIMET as reported by the Company, and aggregated \$4.0 million in the first six months of 2003 and \$2.5 million in the first six months of 2004.

#### General corporate and other items

General corporate interest and dividend income. General corporate interest and dividend income decreased slightly in the first six months of 2004 compared to the same period of 2003 due to a lower average level of invested funds and lower average yields. Aggregate general corporate interest and dividend income is currently expected to continue to be lower during the remainder of 2004

compared to same periods in 2003 due primarily to a lower amount of funds available for investment and lower average interest rates.

General corporate expenses. Net general corporate expenses in the second quarter and first six months of 2004 were lower than the same periods of 2003 due primarily to lower environmental remediation and legal expenses of NL. Net general corporate expenses in 2004 are currently expected to continue to be lower than 2003 due to lower expected environmental remediation expenses of NL. However, obligations for environmental remediation are difficult to assess and estimate, and no assurance can be given that actual costs will not exceed accrued amounts or that costs will not be incurred with respect to sites for which no estimate of liability can presently be made. See Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Interest expense. The Company has a significant amount of indebtedness denominated in the euro, including KII's euro 285 million 8.875% Senior Secured Notes. Accordingly, the reported amount of interest expense will vary depending on relative changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Interest expense in the second quarter and first six months of 2004 was higher than the same periods in 2003 due primarily to relative changes in foreign currency exchange rates, which increased the U.S. dollar equivalent of interest expense on the KII Senior Secured Notes by approximately \$600,000 during the second quarter of 2004 as compared to the second quarter of 2003 (year-to-date increase of \$1.7 million). Assuming no significant change in interest rates or foreign currency exchange rates from current levels, interest expense in the remainder 2004 is expected to continue to be slightly higher than interest expense in 2003.

Provision for income taxes. The principal reasons for the difference between the Company's effective income tax rates and the U.S. federal statutory income tax rates are explained in Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

At June 30, 2004, Kronos had the equivalent of \$594 million and \$255 million of income tax loss carryforwards in Germany for German corporate and trade tax purposes, respectively, all of which have no expiration date. As more fully described in Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Kronos had previously provided a deferred income tax asset valuation allowance against substantially all of these tax loss carryforwards and other deductible temporary differences in Germany because Kronos did not believe they met the "more-likely-than-not" recognition criteria. During the first six months of 2004, Kronos reduced its deferred income tax asset valuation allowance by approximately \$8.7 million, primarily as a result of utilization of these German net operating loss carryforwards, the benefit of which had not previously been recognized. At June 30, 2004, after considering all available evidence, Kronos concluded that these German tax loss carryforwards and other deductible temporary differences now meet the "more-likely-than-not" recognition criteria. Accordingly, as of June 30, 2004, Kronos reversed the remaining \$245.6 million valuation allowance related to such items. Because the benefit of such net operating loss carryforwards and other deductible temporary differences in Germany has now been recognized, the Company's future effective income tax rate will be higher than what it would have otherwise been, although its future cash income tax rate would not be effected.

In January 2004, the German federal government enacted new tax law amendments that limit the annual utilization of income tax loss carryforwards effective January 1, 2004 to 60% of taxable income after the first euro 1 million of taxable income. The new law will have a significant effect on Kronos' cash tax payments in Germany going forward, the extent of which will be dependent upon the level of taxable income earned in Germany.

Minority interest. See Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Following completion of the merger transactions in which Tremont became wholly owned by Valhi in February 2003, the Company no longer reports minority interest in Tremont's net assets or earnings. The Company commenced recognizing minority interest in Kronos' net assets and earnings in December 2003 following NL's distribution of a portion of the shares of Kronos common stock to its shareholders.

Minority interest in NL's other subsidiaries relates principally to EMS. EMS was established in 1998, at which time EMS contractually assumed certain of NL's environmental liabilities. EMS' earnings are based, in part, upon its ability to favorably resolve these liabilities on an aggregate basis. The shareholders of EMS, other than NL, actively manage the environmental liabilities and share in 39% of EMS' cumulative earnings. NL continues to consolidate EMS and provides accruals for the reasonably estimable costs for the

settlement of EMS' environmental liabilities, as discussed below.

As previously reported, Waste Control Specialists was formed by Valhi and another entity in 1995. Waste Control Specialists assumed certain liabilities of the other owner and such liabilities exceeded the carrying value of the assets contributed by the other owner. Since its inception in 1995, Waste Control Specialists has reported aggregate net losses. Consequently, all of Waste Control Specialists aggregate, inception-to-date net losses have accrued to the Company for financial reporting purposes. Accordingly, no minority interest in Waste Control Specialists has been recognized in the Company's consolidated financial statements. Waste Control Specialists LLC became wholly owned by Valhi during the second quarter of 2004.

Accounting principle newly adopted in 2004. See Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES:

##### Consolidated cash flows

Summary. The Company's primary source of liquidity on an ongoing basis is its cash flows from operating activities, which is generally used to (i) fund capital expenditures, (ii) repay short-term indebtedness incurred primarily for working capital purposes and (iii) provide for the payment of dividends (including dividends paid to Valhi by its subsidiaries). In addition, from time-to-time the Company will incur indebtedness, generally to (i) fund short-term working capital needs, (ii) refinance existing indebtedness, (iii) make investments in marketable and other securities (including the acquisition of securities issued by subsidiaries and affiliates of the Company) or (iii) fund major capital expenditures or the acquisition of other assets outside the ordinary course of business. Also, the Company will from time-to-time sell assets outside the ordinary course of business, the proceeds of which are generally used to (i) repay existing indebtedness (including indebtedness which may have been collateralized by the assets sold), (ii) make investments in marketable and other securities, (iii) fund major capital expenditures or the acquisition of other assets outside the ordinary course of business or (iv) pay dividends.

At June 30, 2004, the Company's third-party indebtedness aggregated \$649 million, of which about 92% has a maturity date on or after January 1, 2005. Accordingly, the Company does not currently expect that a significant amount of its cash flows from operating activities generated in 2004 will be required to be used to repay indebtedness during 2004.

Operating activities. Trends in cash flows from operating activities (excluding the impact of significant asset dispositions and relative changes in assets and liabilities) are generally similar to trends in the Company's earnings. However, certain items included in the determination of net income are non-cash, and therefore such items have no impact on cash flows from operating activities. Non-cash items included in the determination of net income include depreciation and amortization expense, non-cash interest expense and asset impairment charges. Non-cash interest expense relates principally to NL and consists of amortization of deferred financing costs.

Certain other items included in the determination of net income may have an impact on cash flows from operating activities, but the impact of such items on cash flows from operating activities will differ from their impact on net income. For example, equity in earnings of affiliates will generally differ from the amount of distributions received from such affiliates, and equity in losses of affiliates does not necessarily result in current cash outlays paid to such affiliates. The amount of periodic defined benefit pension plan expense and periodic OPEB expense depends upon a number of factors, including certain actuarial assumptions, and changes in such actuarial assumptions will result in a change in the reported expense. In addition, the amount of such periodic expense generally differs from the outflows of cash required to be currently paid for such benefits.

Certain other items included in the determination of net income have no impact on cash flows from operating activities, but such items do impact cash flows from investing activities (although their impact on such cash flows differs from their impact on net income). For example, realized gains and losses from the disposal of long-lived assets are included in the determination of net income, although the proceeds from any such disposal are shown as part of cash flows from investing activities.

Changes in product pricing, production volumes and customer demand, among other things, could significantly affect the liquidity of the Company. Relative changes in assets and liabilities generally result from the timing of production, sales, purchases and income tax payments. Such relative changes can significantly impact the comparability of cash flow from operations from period to period, as the income statement impact of such items may occur in a different period from when the underlying cash transaction occurs. For example, raw materials may be purchased in one period, but the payment for such raw materials may occur in a subsequent period. Similarly, inventory may be sold in one period, but the cash collection of the receivable may occur in a subsequent period.

Cash flows provided from operating activities increased from \$19.3 million in the first six months of 2003 to \$56.9 million in the first six months of 2004. This \$37.6 million increase was due primarily to the net effect of (i) higher net income of \$247.1 million, (ii) a larger deferred income tax benefit of \$267.2 million, (iii) higher depreciation expense of \$3.5 million, (iv) higher distributions from NL's TiO2 manufacturing joint venture of \$7.5 million, (v) lower equity in losses of TIMET of \$6.4 million, (vi) higher minority interest of \$43.4 million, (vii) a higher amount of net cash used to fund changes in the Company's inventories, receivables, payables, accruals and accounts with affiliates of \$43.7 million and (viii) lower cash paid for income taxes of \$29.4 million. Relative changes in accounts receivable are affected by, among other things, the timing of sales and the collection of the resulting receivable. Relative changes in inventories, accounts payable and accrued liabilities are affected by, among other things, the timing of raw material purchases and the payment for such purchases and the relative difference between production volumes and sales volumes. Relative changes in accrued environmental costs are affected by, among other things, the period in which recognition of the environmental accrual is recognized and the period in which the remediation expenditure is actually made.

Valhi does not have complete access to the cash flows of its subsidiaries and affiliates, in part due to limitations contained in certain credit agreements as well as the fact that certain of such subsidiaries and affiliates are not 100% owned by Valhi. A detail of Valhi's consolidated cash flows from operating activities is presented in the table below. Eliminations consist of intercompany dividends (most of which are paid to Valhi Parent).

	Six months ended June 30,	
	2003	2004
	----	----
	(In millions)	
Cash provided (used) by operating activities:		
NL/Kronos	\$ 18.6	\$ 58.1
CompX	8.4	13.7
Waste Control Specialists	(2.5)	(4.3)
Valhi Parent	13.5	18.9
Eliminations	(18.7)	(29.5)
	-----	-----
	\$ 19.3	\$ 56.9
	=====	=====

Investing and financing activities. Approximately 66% of the Company's consolidated capital expenditures in the first six months of 2004 relate to NL, 11% relate to CompX and substantially all of the remainder relate to Waste Control Specialists. During the first six months of 2004, Valhi purchased shares of Kronos common stock in market transactions for \$16.2 million, and NL collected \$2 million on its loan to one of the Contran family trusts.

During the first six months of 2004, Valhi repaid a net \$6.0 million of its short-term demand loans from Contran and borrowed a net \$45.0 million under its revolving bank credit facility, (ii) CompX repaid a net \$24.0 million under its revolving bank credit facility and (iii) Kronos borrowed an aggregate of euro 26 million (\$32 million when borrowed) of borrowings under its European revolving bank credit facility, all of which were subsequently repaid in the second quarter.



At June 30, 2004, unused credit available under existing credit facilities approximated \$244.1 million, which was comprised of: CompX - \$45.5 million under its new revolving credit facility; Kronos - \$94.8 million under its European credit facility, \$11.4 million under its Canadian credit facility, \$40.0 million under its U.S. credit facility and \$3.5 million under other non-U.S. facilities; and Valhi - \$48.9 million under its revolving bank credit facility.

Provisions contained in certain of the Company's credit agreements could result in the acceleration of the applicable indebtedness prior to its stated maturity for reasons other than defaults from failing to comply with typical financial covenants. For example, certain credit agreements allow the lender to accelerate the maturity of the indebtedness upon a change of control (as defined) of the borrower. The terms of Valhi's revolving bank credit facility could require Valhi to either reduce outstanding borrowings or pledge additional collateral in the event the fair value of the existing pledged collateral falls below specified levels. In addition, certain credit agreements could result in the acceleration of all or a portion of the indebtedness following a sale of assets outside the ordinary course of business. Other than operating leases discussed in the 2003 Annual Report, neither Valhi nor any of its subsidiaries or affiliates are parties to any off-balance sheet financing arrangements.

#### Chemicals - Kronos

At June 30, 2004, Kronos had cash, cash equivalents and marketable debt securities of \$92.0 million, including restricted balances of \$3.5 million, and Kronos had approximately \$149.7 million available for borrowing under its U.S., Canadian and European credit facilities.

At June 30, 2004, Kronos' outstanding debt was comprised of (i) \$346.4 million related to KII's Senior Secured Notes and (ii) approximately \$400,000 of other indebtedness. In addition, Kronos had a \$200 million long-term note payable to NL due in 2010 which is eliminated in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Pricing within the TiO2 industry is cyclical, and changes in industry economic conditions significantly impact Kronos' earnings and operating cash flows. Cash flows from operations is considered the primary source of liquidity for Kronos. Changes in TiO2 pricing, production volume and customer demand, among other things, could significantly affect the liquidity of Kronos.

See Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for certain income tax examinations currently underway with respect to certain of Kronos' income tax returns in various U.S. and non-U.S. jurisdictions, and see Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements with respect to certain legal proceedings with respect to Kronos.

Kronos periodically evaluates its liquidity requirements, alternative uses of capital, capital needs and availability of resources in view of, among other things, its dividend policy, its debt service and capital expenditure requirements and estimated future operating cash flows. As a result of this process, Kronos has in the past and may in the future seek to reduce, refinance, repurchase or restructure indebtedness, raise additional capital, repurchase shares of its common stock, modify its dividend policy, restructure ownership interests, sell interests in subsidiaries or other assets, or take a combination of such steps or other steps to manage its liquidity and capital resources. In the normal course of its business, Kronos may review opportunities for the acquisition, divestiture, joint venture or other business combinations in the chemicals or other industries, as well as the acquisition of interests in, and loans to, related entities. In the event of any such transaction, Kronos may consider using its available cash, issuing its equity securities or increasing its indebtedness to the extent permitted by the agreements governing Kronos' existing debt.

Kronos has substantial operations located outside the United States for which the functional currency is not the U.S. dollar. As a result, the reported amounts of Kronos' assets and liabilities related to its non-U.S. operations, and therefore Kronos' and the Company's consolidated net assets, will fluctuate based upon changes in currency exchange rates.

#### NL Industries

At June 30, 2004, NL (exclusive of Kronos) had cash, cash equivalents and marketable debt securities of \$53.3 million, including restricted balances of \$25.9 million. Of such restricted balances, \$17 million was held by special

purpose trusts, the assets of which can only be used to pay for certain of NL's future environmental remediation and other environmental expenditures. NL also has a \$200 million long-term note receivable from Kronos due in 2010, which is eliminated in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

See Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for certain income tax examinations currently underway with respect to certain of NL's income tax returns, and see Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements and Part II, Item 1, "Legal Proceedings" with respect to certain legal proceedings and environmental matters with respect to NL.

In December 2003, NL completed the distribution of approximately 48.8% of Kronos' outstanding common stock to its shareholders under which NL shareholders received one share of Kronos' common stock for every two shares of NL common stock held. Approximately 23.9 million shares of Kronos common stock were distributed. Immediately prior to the distribution of shares of Kronos common stock, Kronos distributed a \$200 million promissory note payable by Kronos to NL. In March 2004, NL paid its \$.20 per share regular quarterly dividend in the form of shares of Kronos common stock. Approximately 345,100 shares, or approximately .7% of Kronos' outstanding common stock, were distributed. NL paid its next regular \$.20 per share quarterly dividend in the form of shares of Kronos common stock in July 2004. Approximately 322,500 shares of Kronos, or approximately .7% of Kronos' outstanding common stock, were distributed in this distribution. Following this distribution, NL will no longer own a majority of Kronos' outstanding common stock, and accordingly NL will cease to consolidate Kronos as of July 1, 2004. However, the Company will continue to consolidate Kronos since the Company continues to own a majority of Kronos, either directly or indirectly through NL and Tremont.

NL periodically evaluates its liquidity requirements, alternative uses of capital, capital needs and availability of resources in view of, among other things, its dividend policy, its debt service and capital expenditure requirements and estimated future operating cash flows. As a result of this process, NL has in the past and may in the future seek to reduce, refinance, repurchase or restructure indebtedness, raise additional capital, repurchase shares of its common stock, modify its dividend policy, restructure ownership interests, sell interests in subsidiaries or other assets, or take a combination of such steps or other steps to manage its liquidity and capital resources. In the normal course of its business, NL may review opportunities for the acquisition, divestiture, joint venture or other business combinations in the chemicals or other industries, as well as the acquisition of interests in, and loans to, related entities. In the event of any such transaction, NL may consider using its available cash, issuing its equity securities or increasing its indebtedness to the extent permitted by the agreements governing NL's existing debt.

#### Component products - CompX International

CompX believes that its cash on hand, together with cash generated from operations and borrowing availability under its new bank credit facility, will be sufficient to meet CompX's liquidity needs for working capital, capital expenditures and debt service requirements for the foreseeable future. To the extent that CompX's actual operating results or developments differ from CompX's expectations, CompX's liquidity could be adversely affected. CompX suspended its regular quarterly dividend of \$.125 per share in the second quarter of 2003.

Certain of the CompX's sales generated by its non-U.S. operations are denominated in U.S. dollars. CompX periodically uses currency forward contracts to manage a portion of foreign exchange rate risk associated with receivables or similar exchange rate risk associated with future sales denominated in a currency other than the holder's functional currency. CompX has not entered into these contracts for trading or speculative purposes in the past, nor does CompX currently anticipate entering into such contracts for trading or speculative purposes in the future. At each balance sheet date, any such outstanding currency forward contract is marked-to-market with any resulting gain or loss recognized in income currently as part of net currency transactions. To manage such exchange rate risk, at June 30, 2004, CompX held contracts maturing through August 2004 to exchange an aggregate of U.S. \$2.9 million for an equivalent amount of Canadian dollars at exchange rates ranging from Cdn. \$1.35 to Cdn. \$1.39 per U.S. dollar. At June 30, 2004 the actual exchange rate was Cdn. \$1.35 per U.S. dollar.

CompX periodically evaluates its liquidity requirements, alternative uses of capital, capital needs and available resources in view of, among other things, its capital expenditure requirements, dividend policy and estimated

future operating cash flows. As a result of this process, CompX has in the past and may in the future seek to raise additional capital, refinance or restructure indebtedness, issue additional securities, modify its dividend policy, repurchase shares of its common stock or take a combination of such steps or other steps to manage its liquidity and capital resources. In the normal course of business, CompX may review opportunities for acquisitions, divestitures, joint ventures or other business combinations in the component products industry. In the event of any such transaction, CompX may consider using its then-available cash, issuing additional equity securities or increasing the indebtedness of CompX or its subsidiaries.

#### Waste management - Waste Control Specialists

At June 30, 2004, Waste Control Specialists' indebtedness consisted principally of \$39.1 million of borrowings owed to a wholly-owned subsidiary of Valhi (December 31, 2003 intercompany indebtedness - \$30.9 million). The additional borrowings during the first six months of 2004 were used by Waste Control Specialists primarily to fund its operating loss and its capital expenditures. Such indebtedness is eliminated in the Company's consolidated financial statements. Waste Control Specialists will likely borrow additional amounts during the remainder of 2004 under its revolving credit facility with such Valhi subsidiary.

#### TIMET

At June 30, 2004, TIMET had \$147 million of borrowing availability under its various U.S. and European credit agreements. During the first quarter of 2004, TIMET amended its U.S. credit facility to remove the equipment component from the determination of TIMET's borrowing availability in order to avoid the cost of an appraisal. This amendment effectively reduced TIMET's current borrowing availability in the U.S. by \$12 million. However, TIMET can regain this availability, upon request, by completing an updated equipment appraisal. TIMET presently expects its in cash flows from operating activities will be slightly positive during 2004, reflecting in part the resumption of paying quarterly distributions on the convertible preferred debt securities, as discussed below. TIMET received the 2004 advance of \$27.9 million from Boeing in January 2004.

See Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for certain legal proceedings, environmental matters and other contingencies associated with TIMET. While TIMET currently believes that the outcome of these matters, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on TIMET's consolidated financial position, liquidity or overall trends in results of operations, all such matters are subject to inherent uncertainties. Were an unfavorable outcome to occur in any given period, it is possible that it could have a material adverse impact on TIMET's consolidated results of operations or cash flows in a particular period.

At June 30, 2004, a wholly-owned subsidiary of TIMET had issued 4,024,820 shares outstanding of its 6.625% convertible preferred debt securities, representing an aggregate \$201.2 million liquidation amount, that mature in 2026. Each security is convertible into shares of TIMET common stock at a conversion rate of .1339 shares of TIMET common stock per convertible preferred security. Such convertible preferred debt securities do not require principal amortization, and TIMET has the right to defer distributions on the convertible preferred securities for one or more quarters of up to 20 consecutive quarters, provided that such deferral period may not extend past the 2026 maturity date. TIMET is prohibited from, among other things, paying dividends or reacquiring its capital stock while distributions are being deferred on the convertible preferred securities. In October 2002, TIMET elected to exercise its right to defer future distributions on its convertible preferred securities for a period of up to 20 consecutive quarters. Distributions continued to accrue at the coupon rate on the liquidation amount and unpaid distributions. This deferral was effective starting with TIMET's December 1, 2002 scheduled payment. In April 2004, TIMET paid all previously-deferred distributions with respect to the convertible preferred debt securities and paid the next scheduled distribution in June 2004.

In April 2004, TIMET announced that its board of directors had approved, subject to certain conditions, a split of its common stock at a ratio of five shares of post-split common stock for each outstanding share of pre-split common stock. When completed, which is expected to occur during the third quarter of 2004, such stock split will have no financial statement impact to the Company, and the Company's ownership interest in TIMET will not change as a result of the split.

In July 2004, TIMET commenced an offer to exchange any and all of the outstanding convertible preferred debt securities issued by TIMET Capital Trust I for shares of a new Series A Preferred Stock of TIMET at the rate of 1 share of Series A Preferred Stock for each convertible preferred debt security. Completion of the tender offer is subject to certain conditions. Dividends on the Series A shares would accumulate at the rate of 6 3/4% of their liquidation value of \$50 per share, and would be convertible into shares of TIMET common stock at the rate of one-third of a share of TIMET common stock per Series A share (a rate of one and two third of a share of TIMET common stock per Series A share, assuming completion of the five-for-one stock split discussed above). The Series A shares would not be mandatorily redeemable, but would be redeemable at the option of TIMET in certain circumstances. Valhi has indicated it intends to tender its shares of the convertible preferred debt securities (14,700 shares) in the tender offer, which is currently expected to be completed during the third quarter of 2004.

TIMET periodically evaluates its liquidity requirements, capital needs and availability of resources in view of, among other things, its alternative uses of capital, debt service requirements, the cost of debt and equity capital, and estimated future operating cash flows. As a result of this process, TIMET has in the past, or in light of its current outlook, may in the future seek to raise additional capital, modify its common and preferred dividend policies, restructure ownership interests, incur, refinance or restructure indebtedness, repurchase shares of capital stock or debt securities, sell assets, or take a combination of such steps or other steps to increase or manage its liquidity and capital resources. In the normal course of business, TIMET investigates, evaluates, discusses and engages in acquisition, joint venture, strategic relationship and other business combination opportunities in the titanium, specialty metal and other industries. In the event of any future acquisition or joint venture opportunities, TIMET may consider using then-available liquidity, issuing equity securities or incurring additional indebtedness.

Tremont

See Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for certain legal proceedings and environmental matters with respect to Tremont.

In October 2002, Tremont entered into a \$15 million revolving credit facility with NL, currently collateralized by 10.2 million shares of NL common stock and 5.1 million shares of Kronos common stock owned by Tremont. The facility, which matures in December 2004, is eliminated in Valhi's consolidated financial statements. At June 30, 2004, no amounts were outstanding under Tremont's loan facility with NL and \$15 million was available to Tremont for additional borrowings.

General corporate - Valhi

Because Valhi's operations are conducted primarily through its subsidiaries and affiliates, Valhi's long-term ability to meet its parent company level corporate obligations is dependent in large measure on the receipt of dividends or other distributions from its subsidiaries and affiliates. In February 2004, Kronos announced it would pay its first regular quarterly cash dividend of \$.25 per share. At that rate, and based on the 21.3 million shares of Kronos held by Valhi and Tremont at June 30, 2004 (16.1 million shares held by Valhi and 5.2 million share held by Tremont, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Valhi), Valhi would directly or indirectly receive aggregate annual dividends from Kronos of \$21.3 million. NL, which paid regular quarterly cash dividends of \$.20 per share in 2003, paid its first quarter 2004 regular quarterly dividend of \$.20 per share in the form of shares of Kronos common stock. CompX suspended its regular quarterly dividend in the second quarter of 2003. The Company does not currently expect to receive any distributions from Waste Control Specialists during 2004.

Various credit agreements to which certain subsidiaries or affiliates are parties contain customary limitations on the payment of dividends, typically a percentage of net income or cash flow; however, such restrictions in the past have not significantly impacted Valhi's ability to service its parent company level obligations. Valhi has not guaranteed any indebtedness of its subsidiaries or affiliates. To the extent that one or more of Valhi's subsidiaries were to become unable to maintain its current level of dividends, either due to restrictions contained in the applicable subsidiary's credit agreements or otherwise, Valhi parent company's liquidity could become adversely impacted. In such an event, Valhi might consider reducing or eliminating its dividend or selling interests in subsidiaries or other assets.

At June 30, 2004, Valhi had \$7.4 million of parent level cash and cash equivalents and had \$50 million outstanding under its revolving bank credit agreement. In addition, Valhi had \$48.9 million of borrowing availability under its revolving bank credit facility. During the second quarter of 2004, the size of Valhi's revolving bank credit facility was increased from \$85 million to \$100 million.

The terms of The Amalgamated Sugar Company LLC Company Agreement provide for annual "base level" of cash dividend distributions (sometimes referred to as distributable cash) by the LLC of \$26.7 million, from which the Company is entitled to a 95% preferential share. Distributions from the LLC are dependent, in part, upon the operations of the LLC. The Company records dividend distributions from the LLC as income upon receipt, which occurs in the same month in which they are declared by the LLC. To the extent the LLC's distributable cash is below this base level in any given year, the Company is entitled to an additional 95% preferential share of any future annual LLC distributable cash in excess of the base level until such shortfall is recovered. Based on the LLC's current projections for 2004, Valhi currently expects that distributions received from the LLC in 2004 will approximate its debt service requirements under its \$250 million loans from Snake River Sugar Company.

Certain covenants contained in Snake River's third-party senior debt allow Snake River to pay periodic installments of debt service payments (principal and interest) under Valhi's \$80 million loan to Snake River prior to its current scheduled maturity in 2007, and such loan is subordinated to Snake River's third-party senior debt. At June 30, 2004, the accrued and unpaid interest on the \$80 million loan to Snake River aggregated \$35.7 million and is classified as a noncurrent asset. The Company currently believes it will ultimately realize both the \$80 million principal amount and the accrued and unpaid interest, whether through cash generated from the future operations of Snake River and the LLC or otherwise (including any liquidation of Snake River or the LLC). Following the currently scheduled complete repayment of Snake River's third-party senior debt in April 2007, Valhi believes it will receive significant debt service payments on its loan to Snake River as the cash flows that Snake River previously would have been using to fund debt service on its third-party senior debt (\$10.9 million in 2004) would then become available, and would be required, to be used to fund debt service payments on its loan from Valhi. Prior to the repayment of the third-party senior debt, Snake River might also make debt service payments to Valhi, if permitted by the terms of the senior debt.

The Company may, at its option, require the LLC to redeem the Company's interest in the LLC beginning in 2010, and the LLC has the right to redeem the Company's interest in the LLC beginning in 2027. The redemption price is generally \$250 million plus the amount of certain undistributed income allocable to the Company. In the event the Company requires the LLC to redeem the Company's interest in the LLC, Snake River has the right to accelerate the maturity of and call Valhi's \$250 million loans from Snake River. Redemption of the Company's interest in the LLC would result in the Company reporting income related to the disposition of its LLC interest for both financial reporting and income tax purposes. However, because of Snake River's ability to call its \$250 million loans to Valhi upon redemption of the Company's interest in the LLC, the net cash proceeds (after repayment of the debt) generated by redemption of the Company's interest in the LLC could be less than the income taxes that would become payable as a result of the disposition.

The Company routinely compares its liquidity requirements and alternative uses of capital against the estimated future cash flows to be received from its subsidiaries, and the estimated sales value of those units. As a result of this process, the Company has in the past and may in the future seek to raise additional capital, refinance or restructure indebtedness, repurchase indebtedness in the market or otherwise, modify its dividend policies, consider the sale of interests in subsidiaries, affiliates, business units, marketable securities or other assets, or take a combination of such steps or other steps, to increase liquidity, reduce indebtedness and fund future activities. Such activities have in the past and may in the future involve related companies.

The Company and related entities routinely evaluate acquisitions of interests in, or combinations with, companies, including related companies, perceived by management to be undervalued in the marketplace. These companies may or may not be engaged in businesses related to the Company's current businesses. The Company intends to consider such acquisition activities in the future and, in connection with this activity, may consider issuing additional equity securities and increasing the indebtedness of the Company, its

subsidiaries and related companies. From time to time, the Company and related entities also evaluate the restructuring of ownership interests among their respective subsidiaries and related companies.

#### Non-GAAP financial measure

In an effort to provide investors with additional information regarding the Company's results of operations as determined by GAAP, the Company has disclosed certain non-GAAP information which the Company believes provides useful information to investors:

- o The Company discloses percentage changes in Kronos' average TiO2 selling prices in billing currencies, which excludes the effects of foreign currency translation. The Company believes disclosure of such percentage changes allows investors to analyze such changes without the impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates, thereby facilitating period-to-period comparisons of the relative changes in average selling prices in the actual various billing currencies. Generally, when the U.S. dollar either strengthens or weakens against other currencies, the percentage change in average selling prices in billing currencies will be higher or lower, respectively, than such percentage changes would be using actual exchange rates prevailing during the respective periods.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company maintains a system of disclosure controls and procedures. The term "disclosure controls and procedures," as defined by regulations of the SEC, means controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits to the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Act"), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits to the SEC under the Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive officer and its principal financial officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions to be made regarding required disclosure. Each of Steven L. Watson, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, and Bobby D. O'Brien, the Company's Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, have evaluated the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2004. Based upon their evaluation, these executive officers have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of the date of such evaluation.

The Company also maintains a system of internal controls over financial reporting. The term "internal control over financial reporting," as defined by regulations of the SEC, means a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the Company's board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP, and includes those policies and procedures that:

- o Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company,
- o Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company, and
- o Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

There has been no change to the Company's system of internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2004 that has materially

affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's system of internal controls over financial reporting.

## Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Reference is made to Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, the 2003 Annual Report and the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 for descriptions of certain legal proceedings.

In July 2004, the court awarded \$150,000 to plaintiffs' counsel for fees and reimbursement of expenses in the previously-reported matter In re Tremont Corporation Shareholders Litigation.

Sabater, et al. v. Lead Industries Association, et al. (Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Bronx, Index No. 25533/98). In June 2004, at plaintiffs' request, the trial court dismissed the case with prejudice as to the adult plaintiffs and without prejudice as to the minor plaintiffs.

Thomas v. Lead Industries Association, et al. (Circuit Court, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, Case No. 99-CV-6411). In June 2004, the appellate court affirmed the trial court's dismissal of all of plaintiff's claims. In July 2004, plaintiff filed a petition for review with the Wisconsin Supreme Court.

State of Rhode Island v. Lead Industries Association, et al. (Superior Court of Rhode Island, No. 99-5226). In July 2004, the Rhode Island Supreme Court dismissed plaintiff's appeal of, and denied plaintiff's petition to review, the trial court's decision that the case must be tried to a jury.

Smith, et al. v. Lead Industries Association, et al. (Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland, Case No. 24-C-99-004490). In May 2004, the appellate court reversed and remanded the trial court's dismissal of plaintiffs' design defect claim and other claims, but affirmed the trial court's dismissal of plaintiffs' fraud claim and failure to warn claim. In July 2004, plaintiffs filed a petition for review with the Maryland Court of Appeals.

City of St. Louis v. Lead Industries Association, et al. (Missouri Circuit Court 22nd Judicial Circuit, St. Louis City, Cause No. 002-245, Division 1). Defendants' renewed motion to dismiss and motion for summary judgment were denied by the trial court in March 2004, but the trial court limited plaintiff's complaint to monetary damages from 1990 to 2000, specifically excluding future damages. In April 2004, the court set a trial date for July 2005.

Spring Branch Independent School District v. Lead Industries Association, et al. (District Court of Harris County, Texas, No. 2000-31175). In June 2004, the appellate court affirmed the trial court's order granting defendants' motion for summary judgment. The time for plaintiff's appeal has not run.

Lewis et al. v. Lead Industries Association, et al. (Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, County Department, Chancery Division, Case No. 00CH09800). In May 2004, the trial court denied defendants' motion for summary judgment on plaintiffs' conspiracy count. In May 2004, defendants filed another motion for summary judgment on plaintiffs' conspiracy count, arguing that plaintiffs cannot show that all manufacturers of lead pigment were members of a conspiracy.

Barker, et al. v. The Sherwin-Williams Company, et al. (Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Mississippi, Civil Action No. 2000-587). With respect to the ten plaintiffs transferred by the trial court to Holmes County, in May 2004, the Mississippi Supreme Court remanded the case to the trial court in Holmes County and instructed the court to transfer the plaintiffs to their appropriate venues. With respect to the eight plaintiffs remaining in Jefferson County, in July 2004 the Mississippi Supreme Court denied plaintiffs' motion to add additional defendants.

Russell v. NL Industries, Inc., et al. (Circuit Court of LeFlore County, Mississippi, No.2002-0235-CICI). In May 2004, four of the six plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed their claims.

Jones v. NL Industries, Inc., et al. (Circuit Court of LeFlore County,

Mississippi, Civil Action No. 2002-0241 - CICI). In June 2004, the court set trial date of February 2006.

The Quapaw Tribe of Oklahoma et al. v. ASARCO Incorporated et al. (United States District Court, Northern District of Oklahoma, Case No. 03C-V846 H). In April 2004, the plaintiffs filed an amended complaint adding claims under the Resource Conservation Recovery Act ("RCRA") and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, and NL moved to dismiss those claims. In June 2004, the trial court dismissed the plaintiffs' claims for unjust enrichment and fraud as well as one plaintiff's claims arising under RCRA.

Evans v. Asarco (United States District Court, Northern District of Oklahoma, Case No. 04-CV-94EA(M)). The trial court has stayed the proceedings in this case pending the outcome of a class certification decision in Cole, et al. v. ASARCO Incorporated et al. (U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Case No. 03C V327 EA (J)) case, from which NL has been dismissed with prejudice.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

Valhi's 2004 Annual Meeting of Stockholders was held on May 25, 2004. Thomas E. Barry, Norman S. Edelcup, W. Hayden McIlroy, Glenn R. Simmons, Harold C. Simmons, J. Walter Tucker, Jr. and Steven L. Watson were elected as directors, each receiving votes "For" their election from at least 96.7% of the 119.5 million common shares eligible to vote at the Annual Meeting.

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K.

(a) Exhibits

- 10.1\*\* - First Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement between Rolls-Royce plc and Titanium Metals Corporation - incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to TIMET's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 0-28538) for the quarter ended June 30, 2004.
- 10.2\*\* - Second Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement between Rolls-Royce plc and Titanium Metals Corporation- incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to TIMET's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 0-28538) for the quarter ended June 30, 2004.
- 10.3\*\* - Termination Agreement by and between Wyman-Gordon Company and Titanium Metals Corporation, effective as of September 28, 2003 - incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to TIMET's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 0-28538) for the quarter ended June 30, 2004.
- 31.1 - Certification
- 31.2 - Certification
- 32.1 - Certification.

\*\* Portions of the exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment.

The Company has retained a signed original of any of the above exhibits that contains signatures, and the Company will provide such exhibit to the Commission or its staff upon request. Valhi will also furnish, without charge, a copy of its Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and its Audit Committee Charter and its Corporate Governance Guidelines, each as adopted by the Company's board of directors, upon request. Such requests should be directed to the attention of Valhi's Corporate Secretary at Valhi's corporate offices located at 5430 LBJ Freeway, Suite 1700, Dallas, Texas 75240.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K



Reports on Form 8-K for the quarter ended June 30, 2004.

May 10, 2004 - Reported Items 9 and 12. May 25, 2004 - Reported Item 9.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

VALHI, INC.  
(Registrant)

Date August 6, 2004  
-----

By /s/ Bobby D. O'Brien  
-----

Bobby D. O'Brien  
Vice President, Chief Financial  
Officer and Treasurer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

Date August 6, 2004  
-----

By /s/ Gregory M. Swalwell  
-----

Gregory M. Swalwell  
Vice President and Controller  
(Principal Accounting Officer)

I, Steven L. Watson, the President and Chief Executive Officer of Valhi, Inc., certify that:

- 1) I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Valhi, Inc.;
- 2) Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4) The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and we have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - c) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5) The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 6, 2004

/s/ Steven L. Watson

-----  
Steven L. Watson  
President and Chief Executive Officer



I, Bobby D. O'Brien, the Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Valhi, Inc., certify that:

- 1) I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Valhi, Inc.;
- 2) Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4) The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and we have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - c) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5) The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 6, 2004

/s/ Bobby D. O'Brien

-----  
Bobby D. O'Brien  
Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Valhi, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), we, Steven L. Watson, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and Bobby D. O'Brien, Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

/s/ Steven L. Watson

/s/ Bobby D. O'Brien

-----  
Steven L. Watson  
President and Chief Executive Officer  
August 6, 2004

-----  
Bobby D. O'Brien  
Vice President, Chief Financial Officer  
and Treasurer  
August 6, 2004

Note: The certification the registrant furnishes in this exhibit is not deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that Section. Registration Statements or other documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission shall not incorporate this exhibit by reference, except as otherwise expressly stated in such filing.